Ectopic expression of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor α6 subunit in hippocampal pyramidal neurons produces extrasynaptic receptors and an increased tonic inhibition
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Abstract

We generated transgenic (Thy1α6) mice in which the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor α6 subunit, whose expression is usually confined to granule cells of cerebellum and cochlear nuclei, is ectopically expressed under the control of the pan-neuronal Thy-1.2 promoter. Strong Thy1α6 subunit expression occurs, for example, in deep cerebellar nuclei, layer V isocortical and hippocampal pyramidal cells and dentate granule cells. Ligand binding and protein biochemistry show that most forebrain α6 subunits assemble as α6β<sub>2</sub>-type receptors, and some as α1α6β<sub>2</sub> and α3α6β<sub>2</sub> receptors. Electron microscopic immunogold labeling shows that most Thy1-derived α6 immunoreactivity is in the extrasynaptic plasma membrane of dendrites and spines in both layer V isocortical and CA1 pyramidal cells. Synaptic immunolabeling is rare. Consistent with the α6 subunits’ extrasynaptic localization, Thy1α6 CA1 pyramidal neurons have a five-fold increased tonic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-mediated current compared with wild-type cells; however, the spontaneous IPSC frequency and the mIPSC amplitude in Thy1α6 mice decrease 37 and 30%, respectively compared with wild-type. Our results strengthen the idea that GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors containing α6 subunits can function as extrasynaptic receptors responsible for tonic inhibition and further suggest that a homeostatic mechanism might operate, whereby increased tonic inhibition causes a compensatory decrease in synaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor responses.
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1. Introduction

GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors participate in two modes of inhibition: first, fast point-to-point synaptic transmission via the simultaneous opening of synaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors resulting in inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs—phasic inhibition); and second, the diffusion of GABA away from the synapse to activate extrasynaptic receptors. Activation of extrasynaptic receptors produces a constant inhibitory current termed ‘tonic inhibition’, or ‘spill over inhibition’ if the GABA diffuses to and activates receptors on a distant cell (Rossi and Hamann, 1998; Mitchell and Silver, 2000). The locations (synaptic and extrasynaptic) and properties (e.g. desensitization rate; affinity) of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subtypes on the cell are thus important determinants of the inhibitory response (Nusser et al., 1998; Mody, 2001; Wisden and Farrant, 2002).
Tonic inhibition occurs in many brain regions (Ichinose and Lukasiewicz, 2002; Otis et al., 1991; Bai et al., 2001; Banks and Pearce, 2000), but has been particularly well studied for granule cells of the cerebellar cortex where incisive progress has been made (reviewed by Wisden and Farrant, 2002). GABA spillover from the Golgi cell to granule cell synapse results in a tonic inhibition by activating extrasynaptic receptors on the dendrites and cell soma (Kaneda et al., 1995; Tia et al., 1996; Brickley et al., 1996, 2001; Wall and Usovicz, 1997; Rossi and Hamann, 1998; Hamann et al., 2002). In fact, for granule cells, tonic inhibition provides threefold more inhibitory charge transfer than phasic inhibition through IPSCs (Brickley et al., 1996; Hamann et al., 2002). Distinct GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subtypes carry the phasic and tonic currents. Receptors with a γ2 subunit, probably mainly α1β1γ2 and α6β1γ2 (and possibly α1α6βγ2) are synapticly located (Nusser et al., 1996a,b, 1998, 1999; Somogyi et al., 1996).

On cerebellar granule cells, GABA A in the extracellular space outside the synaptic cleft is probably sensed mainly by α6δ receptors as deduced from the following observations: the δ subunit is located exclusively extrasynaptically (Nusser et al., 1998); the δ subunit partners solely with α6 (Jones et al., 1997; Nusser et al., 1999); selective pharmacological blockade shows that the receptors mediating spill over and tonic inhibition contain α6 subunits (Rossi and Hamann, 1998; Hamann et al., 2002); and in genetically engineered granule cells lacking the α6 and δ subunits, the tonic inhibition is abolished (Brickley et al., 2001). Furthermore, dentate gyrus and cerebellar granule cells from mice lacking the δ subunit have no tonic GABA<sub>A</sub>-receptor mediated current (Stell, Brickley, Farrant, Tang and Mody, unpublished), even though the cerebellar granule cells in δ<sup>−/−</sup> mice express more α6β2γ2 receptors (Tretter et al., 2001), thus indicating the requirement for α6δ rather than α6β2γ2 receptors. Matching with their suggested in vivo function, recombinant α6δ-type GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors have a high affinity for GABA and desensitize only slowly if GABA is continuously applied at low concentrations: EC50 for GABA is 0.2 μM for α6δ, compared with 2 μM for α6β2γ2 and 10 μM for α1β2γ2 (Saxena and Macdonald, 1996; Haas and Macdonald, 1999; Hevers et al., 2000). It is important to note that all of the synaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subtypes on cerebellar granule cells (such as α6β2γ2, α1β2γ2 and α1α6βγ2) are also extrasynaptic, and so could in principle contribute to tonic responses; in addition, analysis of single channel conductance on granule cell patches indicates extrasynaptic (but not synaptic) receptors containing only α and β subunits (Brickley et al., 1999). Granule cells with no α1 subunit have a normal GABAergic tonic current (Vicini et al., 2001), ruling out the importance of α1-containing receptors for tonic inhibition. Nevertheless, one cannot be too dogmatic: in the presence of benzodiazepines and low GABA concentrations, extrasynaptic α1-containing receptors could make a substantial contribution to the background current because these drugs increase the apparent receptor affinity for GABA (Bai et al., 2001; Leao et al., 2000). Furthermore, receptors in the synaptic junction may also contribute to baseline noise if activated by GABA released at distant synapses and diffusing into the synaptic cleft from the non-synaptic extracellular space.

Normally α6 gene expression is restricted to rhombic lip derived cerebellar and cochlear nucleus granule cells (Lüddens et al., 1990; Wisden et al., 1992; Varecka et al., 1994; Campos et al., 2001; Fig. 1C). In previous work we generated mice with no functional α6 subunit (Jones et al., 1997; Korpi et al., 1999; Mellor et al., 2000; Brickley et al., 2001). However, ectopic expression of a gene product can sometimes be as revealing as loss of function studies. So to further test the roles of α6-containing GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors in synaptic transmission, we generated transgenic mice in which the α6 subunit is expressed in many neuronal types throughout the brain. Our results support the idea that GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors containing the α6 subunit can function as extrasynaptic receptors responsible for tonic inhibition.

2. Methods

All animal experiments and associated procedures were in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedure) Act 1986 and the guidelines of the UCLA Chancellors Animal Research Committee.

2.1. Generation of Thy1α6 transgenic mice

The mouse full-length GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor α6 subunit cDNA used for transgene construction was a gift from Stephen Moss (MRC LMCB and Department of Pharmacology, UCL, London, UK); the cDNA encodes an α6 subunit with a flag-epitope DYKDDDDK placed at the N-terminus just after the signal peptide in the context MVLILPPWFLIWPNAQA*QLEDEG-DYKDDDDK…, where * is the predicted signal peptide cleavage site. The Flagα6 cDNA was inserted, as a Sall (5’)-Xhol (3’) fragment, into the unique Xhol site of the mouse Thy-1.2 expression cassette (Caroni, 1997; Fig. 1). The Thy1α6 transgene, purified as a NotI–PvuI fragment (Fig. 1), was injected into mouse eggs (strain CBA/cha×C57BL/6) (Hogan et al., 1994). Two Thy1α6 founders were obtained and expanded into independent homozygous lines on a C57BL/6 background; genotyping was by southern bloting using a α6 cDNA probe and digesting tail genomic DNA with BamHI. Only one line was used for detailed analysis.
Fig. 1. Structure of the Thy1α6 transgene and its expression in adult Thy1α6 mouse brain as seen by in situ hybridization. Upper panel: in situ hybridization showing expression of the α6 transgenic mRNA in adult mouse brain as detected with 35S-labeled antisense oligonucleotide probes to the flag epitope (A) and with an α6-specific probe recognizing both native and transgenic α6 mRNA (B and C). Panel C is the α6 gene expression in a wild-type mouse brain. Images are X-ray film autoradiographs. Cbm: cerebellar cortex; Ctx: neocortex; DCN: deep cerebellar nuclei; DG: dentate granule cells; Gr: cerebellar granule cells; M: mitral cells. II, and VI, layers of neocortex. Scale bar, 2 mm. Lower panel: Thy1α6 transgene structure. Exons of the Thy-1.2 gene are hatched. Arrow at exon 1a is the transcription start site. 5/H11032UT, 5′ untranslated region; 3/H11032UT, 3′ untranslated region; pA: polyadenylation sequence; SS: signal peptide; the unlabeled boxes in the α6 segment are the putative transmembrane domains.

2.2. In situ hybridization

Transgenic mouse brain sections were hybridized with 35S-labeled mouse α6-specific oligonucleotides exactly as described (Wisden and Morris, 2002). After hybridization, sections were exposed to Biomax film (Kodak) for two weeks. Oligonucleotides were mα6Flag and mα6Pan. mα6Flag: 5′-ACT GAC ATT TTC AGA GTA GAA GTT CTT GTC ATC GTC GTC CTT GTA GTC-3′ (antisense to the chimeric peptide sequence: DYKDDDDKNFYSENVS, where DYKDDDDK is the flag epitope and NFYSENVS is from the mouse α6 subunit). This oligonucleotide is specific for transgenic α6 subunit mRNAs; it gives no signal on wild-type mouse brain sections (not shown). The mα6Pan oligonucleotide is antisense to the nucleotide stretch encoding the peptide sequence LSRTPIKSTPV in the TM3-TM4 intracellular loop region of the mouse α6 subunit, and was described previously (Jones et al., 1996). The mα6Pan oligonucleotide hybridizes to both native and transgenically derived α6 subunit mRNAs.

2.3. Immunohistochemistry

2.3.1. Antibodies

Two rabbit affinity-purified polyclonal antibodies to the GABA_A receptor α6 subunit were used. Antibody α6(1–15)#17/15 was raised to amino acid residues 1–15 of the mature rat α6 subunit conjugated to keyhole limpet haemocyanine, and was used for light microscopic immunofluorescence labeling. Antibody α6(317–371)#21/6 was raised to a fusion protein consisting of amino acid sequence 317–371 of the mature rat α6 subunit of the GABA_A receptor, maltose-binding-protein and seven histidine residues. Antibodies were affinity-purified as described earlier (Jechlinger et al., 1998). The specificity of both antibodies to the α6 subunit was revealed by their specific staining of cerebellar granule cells and the absence of immunolabeling of other cells in immunofluorescence, pre-embedding immunoperoxidase and silver intensified gold labeling, as well as in postembedding immunogold reactions. In addition, no immunolabeling could be observed in α6 subunit −/− mice.
(Jones et al., 1997), as tested in this study by immunofluorescence labeling.

2.3.2. Preparation of animals and tissues

Seven adult Thy1α6, two α6−/− (Jones et al., 1997) and three wild-type C57BL/6 mice (Charles River, UK) were anaesthetized with Sagatal (pentobarbitone sodium, 220 mg/kg i.p.) and perfused through the heart with 0.9% NaCl saline followed by fixative containing 4% paraformaldehyde, 0.05% glutaraldehyde, and 0.2% picric acid in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB) pH 7.4 for 10–15 min. After perfusion the brains were left in situ for 10–15 min, then they were removed from the skull. For immunofluorescence analysis, the brains were sectioned sagitally at 60-µm thickness on a Vibratome. For electron microscopic analysis, blocks from the dorsal hippocampus, the parietal isocortex and the cerebellum were dissected and washed in 0.1 M PB, followed by sectioning on a Vibratome at 500 µm (for postembedding reactions) or 60 µm thickness (for pre-embedding reactions) and washed in 0.1 M PB.

2.3.3. Fluorescence labeling

Three Thy1α6, two α6−/− and two wild-type C57BL/6 mice were used. Sections were pre-incubated (1 h) with 20% normal goat serum (NGS) in 0.05 M Tris–HCl pH 7.4 containing 0.9% NaCl (TBS) and 0.1% Triton X100, and subsequently incubated at 4°C for two days with the α6(1–15)#17/15 antibodies in 1% NGS dissolved in TBS–Triton at a final protein concentration of 0.8 µg/ml. After washing, the sections were incubated in swine anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP, product no. P.0399, DAKO, Ely, UK) diluted 1:100 in 1% NGS dissolved in TBS–Triton. Peroxidase was visualized with the Tyramide enhancement Fluorescein System (NEN TSA™, Life Science Products, Boston, MA, USA); the tyramide reagent was diluted 1:50. The sections were washed in TBS and mounted in Vectashield (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, USA).

2.4. Pre-embedding light and electron microscopic immunocytochemistry

Procedures were performed on brain sections of two Thy1α6 and two C57Bl6 mice as described earlier (Baude et al., 1993; Nyiri et al., 2001). After washing in PB overnight, the sections were placed into increasing concentration of sucrose solutions (0.5, 1 and 2 M sucrose for 0.5, 1 and 2 h, respectively) for cryoprotection. After slaming onto copper blocks cooled in liquid N2, and following low temperature dehydration and freeze-substitution, the sections were embedded in Lowicryl HM 20 resin (Chemische Werke Lowi, Waldkraiburg, Germany).

2.5. Freeze substitution and low temperature embedding in Lowicryl resin

The procedure was as described earlier (Baude et al., 1993; Nyiri et al., 2001). After washing in PB overnight, the sections were placed into increasing concentration of sucrose solutions (0.5, 1 and 2 M sucrose for 0.5, 1 and 2 h, respectively) for cryoprotection. After slaming onto copper blocks cooled in liquid N2, and following low temperature dehydration and freeze-substitution, the sections were embedded in Lowicryl HM 20 resin (Chemische Werke Lowi, Waldkraiburg, Germany).

2.6. Postembedding immunocytochemistry on ultrathin sections

Postembedding immunocytochemistry was with 90 nm thick serial sections of slam-frozen, freeze-substituted, Lowicryl-embedded hippocampi or cerebells of two adult Thy1α6 mice and one C57BL/6 mouse (Baude et al., 1993; Nyiri et al., 2001). A double-sided reaction was performed, so that antibodies accessed both sides of the sections (Landsend et al., 1997). Sections were picked up on mesh grids coated with coat-quick G-medium (Daido Sangyo Co., Japan) and were treated with a saturated solution on NaOH in 100% ethanol for ~2 s. After washing, the sections were incubated in drops of blocking solution for 1 h, followed by incubation in drops of primary antibodies (5.1 µg/ml) overnight. The blocking solution, which was also used for diluting the primary and secondary antibodies, consisted of 0.05 M Tris–HCl pH 7.4 containing 0.9% NaCl (TBS) and 2% human serum albumin (Sigma). After incubation overnight in primary antibodies, sections were washed in TBS and incubated for 4 h in drops of goat anti-rabbit-IgG coupled to 10 nm gold particles (British BioCell Int., UK). Following several washes, sections were contrasted with saturated aqueous uranyl acetate followed by lead citrate.

2.7. Controls for immunocytochemistry

No immunofluorescence was detected in the brains of α6−/− mice, confirming the specificity of both primary antibodies. In some incubation the primary antibody was
omitted or replaced with non-immune rabbit IgG of similar protein concentration to those of the affinity purified antibodies. In sections that underwent pre-embedding incubations, only a weak diffuse peroxidase reaction was present and no silver intensified immunogold reaction was detectable, confirming the specificity of the methods. In postembedding immunogold labeling experiments, no labeling was detected at all when the primary antibody was replaced by non-immune rabbit IgG.

2.8. Ligand autoradiography

For autoradiography to detect α6β2 receptors (Mäkelä et al., 1997), 14-μm horizontal serial sections were cut from five and six frozen brains of wild-type (C57BL/6) and Thy1α6 mice, respectively. Sections were pre-incubated in an ice-water bath for 15 min in 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4) supplemented with 120 mM NaCl. The final incubation in the pre-incubation buffer was with 10 nM [3H]-labeled ethyl-8-azido-5,6-dihydro-5α(C57BL/6) and Thy1α6 were pre-incubated in an ice-water bath for 15 min in NaCl. The ligands were used for autoradiography.

2.9. Preparation of membrane extracts, affinity chromatography, immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis

GABA_A receptors were solubilized from forebrain membranes of Thy1α6 and wild-type mice using a deoxycholate buffer [(0.5% deoxycholate, 0.05% phosphatidylcholine, 10 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.5, 150 mM NaCl, one complete protease inhibitor cocktail tablet per 50 ml buffer (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany)] and immunoaffinity chromatography was performed as described (Jechlinger et al., 1998). Briefly, deoxycholate extracts were cycled three times through the affinity column (synthesized as described by Mossier et al., 1994) at a rate of 2 ml/h. To determine the percentage of receptors retained by the column, immunoprecipitations with subunit specific antibodies, followed by [3H]muscimol binding assays were performed with the original extract and the column efflux in parallel (as described in Tretter et al., 2001). Proteins bound to the column were eluted, precipitated and subjected to Western blot analysis as described previously (Jechlinger et al., 1998).

2.10. Receptor binding studies

Frozen Thy1α6 forebrain membranes were thawed, homogenized and washed three times with 50 mM Tris/citrate pH 7.1. Extracted receptors were immunoprecipitated and the precipitate was suspended in 0.1% Triton X-100, 50 mM Tris–citrate buffer, pH 7.1. A total of 1 ml of a solution containing 100 μg membrane protein or the resuspended immunoprecipitate was incubated with 2–50 nM of [3H]Ro 15-4513 (21.7 Ci/mmol, Du Pont NEN) in the absence or presence of 100 μM diazepam or 100 μM Ro15-1788, or with 2–50 nM [3H]muscimol (28.5 Ci/mmol Du Pont NEN) in absence or presence of 1 mM GABA, for 90 min at 4°C. Then the suspensions were filtered through Whatman GF/B filters, the filters were washed with 0.1% Triton X-100, 50 mM Tris–citrate buffer, pH 7.1, and liquid scintillation counted (Tretter et al., 2001).

2.11. Antibodies for biochemical studies

The generation of anti-peptide α1(1–9) (Zeza et al., 1991), anti-peptide α6(317–371) (Nusser et al., 1999), anti-peptide β1(350–404) (Jecheinger et al., 1998), anti-peptide β2(351–405), anti-peptide β3(345–408), anti-peptide γ2(319–366) (Tretter et al., 1997), anti-peptide γ3(322–372) (Tögel et al., 1994) or anti-peptide δ (1–44) (Jones et al., 1997) antibodies have been described previously. Anti-peptide α3(338–385), anti-peptide γ1(1–39), and anti-peptide γ3(1–35) antibodies were generated as described by Mossier et al. (1994) and Tögel et al. (1994). The antibodies precipitate exclusively recombinant GABA_A receptors containing the respective subunit, and do not crossreact with other GABA_A receptor subunits (Tretter et al., 2001).

2.12. Slice preparation and electrophysiological recordings

Male C57BL/6 wild-type (post-natal day (P)17–32) and Thy1α6 (P18-adult) mice were anaesthetized with either isoflurane or halothane. The brains were rapidly removed and a tissue block adhered to the cutting stage of a Vibratome (Leica VT1000s, Leica Instruments, Germany), and 350 μm coronal slices of the hippocampus cut in ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) of composition (in mM): NaCl 126; NaHCO3 26; KCl 2.5; CaCl2 2; MgCl2 2; NaH2PO4 1.25; glucose 10; and kynurenic acid 3 (Sigma Chemicals, USA and UK), final pH 7.3–7.4 when continuously oxygenated (95% O2, 5% CO2), adjusted with NaOH. Slices (4–6 per hemisphere) were then stored at room temperature in an incubation
chamber containing the above aCSF, but without the kynurenic acid, for at least 1 h. Slices were then transferred to the recording chamber and perfused with warmed (35 ± 1°C, 1–2 ml/min) continuously oxygenated aCSF identical to that used during cutting.

CA1 pyramidal cells were identified using a Zeiss Axioskop (Zeiss, Germany) with a 40× immersion differential interference contrast objective, coupled to an infrared camera system (Hamamatsu, Japan). Whole cell patch clamp recordings were made with an Axopatch 200B or Axopatch 1D amplifier (both Axon Instruments, USA). Patch pipettes (final resistance 3–8 MΩ) contained (in mM): CsCl 135; HEPES 10; MgCl₂ 2; Na₂ATP 2; and Na-GTP 0.2, final pH 7.2 adjusted with CsOH. Series resistance (mean at start of experiments, 13.59 ± 0.30 MΩ, n = 82) and whole-cell capacitance were monitored throughout experiments, and experiments were discontinued if series resistance increased by more than 25–30%. Series resistance was always compensated by 80% using lag values of 7 μs. Experimental data were stored on video or digital audio tape for subsequent analysis.

Kynurenic acid was included in the aCSF during slice preparation to increase slice viability, and during experimental recordings to isolate GABA_A receptor mediated IPSCs. For some experiments, the recorded aCSF also contained tetrodotoxin (TTX, 1 μM), as well as kynurenic acid, to isolate GABA_A receptor-mediated miniature IPSCs (mIPSCs). The benzodiazepine site agonist zolpidem (10 μM) and the αδβ2/3-containing GABA_A receptor antagonist furosemide (600 or 60 μM) were bath applied for at least 10 min to determine their effects on mIPSCs. A tonic GABA_A receptor-mediated current component was eliminated by focal application of the GABA_A receptor antagonist 6-imino-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1(6H)-pyridazinobutanoic acid (SR 95531, 50 μM; Tocris) in the presence of the GABA_uptake blocker 1-[2-(((diphenylmethylene)imino)oxy)ethyl]-1,2,5,6-tetrahydro-3-pyridinecarboxylic acid (NO-711, 10 μM).

2.13. Electrophysiological data analysis

Stored data were digitized at 20 kHz, then low-pass filtered at 2 kHz, using a PCI-MIO 16E-4 data acquisition board (National Instruments, USA), or pClamp software via a DigiData 1200 analogue-to-digital converter (both Axon Instruments). Spontaneous and mIPSCs were detected with amplitude—(threshold typically 5–10 pA) and kinetics-based criteria using in-house, LabView based software (National Instruments) running on a personal computer (Jensen and Mody, 2001). Detected IPSCs were then imported into in-house analysis software, where populations of individual IPSCs in a cell could be averaged, and peak amplitude, frequency and 10–90% rise time measured. The decay of the averaged IPSC in each cell could be fitted with a double exponential, and the weighted decay constant determined using the following formula:

\[ \tau_w = \tau_1A_1 + \tau_2(1-A_1) \]

where, \( \tau_w \) is the weighted decay time constant; \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \), the time constants of the first and second exponential functions; and \( A_1 \), the proportion of the peak amplitude of the IPSC that is contributed by the first exponential function. Averaged IPSCs were calculated from at least 100 individual IPSCs in each cell, requiring acquisition epochs of at least 30 s, and sometimes longer. The properties of averaged IPSCs and mIPSCs between strains of mice were compared using Student’s unpaired t-test. The effect of zolpidem and furosemide on averaged mIPSC properties within and between strains was evaluated using Student’s paired and unpaired t-test, respectively.

We tested for tonic GABA_A receptor mediated currents essentially as described by Nusser and Mody, 2002. An epoch of data was acquired encompassing at least 30 s both before and after focal SR 95531 application. Segments of the baseline current (5 ms) were then detected every 100 ms for the whole acquisition using the detection software, and imported into the analysis software. Since some of the 5 ms segments inevitably lie on spontaneous IPSCs, and are therefore, not representative of the baseline, those segments including values above a threshold for baseline standard deviation (typically 6–8 pA) were regarded as contaminated and removed from the sample population. Three 5-s-periods, one immediately prior to drug onset (BL2) and one each equitemporal before and after drug onset (BL1 and BL3, respectively, see Fig. 8A) were identified, and the mean of the 5 ms baseline segments during each of these three periods was calculated, relative to the mean of the post-drug period. A significant difference, determined using Student’s paired t-test, between the change in baseline prior to drug onset (i.e. BL1 to BL2, Δcontrol), and the change in baseline due to drug onset (i.e. BL2 to BL3, ΔSR95531), across the whole cell population for each mouse strain identifies the presence of a tonic current. The apparent amplitude of the tonic current (I) was calculated by subtracting Δcontrol from ΔSR95531. Difference in the amplitude of ΔSR95531, and the apparent amplitude of the tonic current, between mouse strains was determined using Student’s unpaired t-test.

Analysis of the variance of the baseline standard deviation prior to and after SR 95531 onset for each cell enabled us to determine the single channel current of the receptors mediating the tonic current, using the following formula:

\[ i = \frac{(\sigma_{BL1}^2-\sigma_{BL3}^2)}{\Delta_{SR95531}-\Delta_{control}} \]

where, \( i \) is the single channel current, \( \sigma_{BL1}^2 \) and \( \sigma_{BL3}^2 \) are the variances of the baseline standard deviation of BL1...
and BL3; and \( \Delta_{\text{control}} \) and \( \Delta_{\text{SR95531}} \) are the changes in baseline current prior to and after SR 95531 application, as outlined before. Following this, the single channel conductance \( (g) \) of the receptors mediating the tonic current can be determined using the following equation:

\[
g = i/(V_m - E_{\text{Cl}})
\]

where \( i \) is the single channel current, \( V_m \) is the holding potential of the cell (approximately \(-60 \) mV) and \( E_{\text{Cl}} \) the reversal potential for \( \text{Cl}^- \), in this instance approximately 0 mV. Single channel conductance was calculated only for cells that had apparent tonic current amplitude greater than 5 pA. Difference in the single channel conductance between mouse strains was tested using Student’s unpaired \( t \)-test. Division of the tonic current amplitude \( (I) \) by the single channel current \( (i) \) gives the mean number of open channels underlying the tonic current.

In all cases data are expressed as mean±SEM. The significance for comparison using both Student’s paired and unpaired \( t \)-test was set at \( p < 0.05 \). Data were recorded from 43 and 39 CA1 pyramidal cells of C57BL/6 mice, and Thy1\( \alpha \) recorded from 43 and 39 CA1 pyramidal cells of experiments were performed at a holding potential of \(-60 \) mV \((-60.29 \pm 0.17 \) mV, \( n = 82 \)).

2.14. Solutions and drugs for electrophysiology

Drugs and their sources were: Kynurenic acid, NO711 and furosemide (Sigma Chemicals, UK); TTX (Sigma and Tocris, Bristol, UK); SR 95531 and zolpidem (Tocris). Both zolpidem and furosemide were dissolved first in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma) before addition to the ACSF. For zolpidem, the final concentration of DMSO in the ACSF was 1:1000, whereas for furosemide it was 1:2000 at 600 \( \mu \)M concentration, and 1:20000 for 60 \( \mu \)M.

3. Results

3.1. Generation of Thy1\( \alpha \)6 transgenic mice and expression of the Thy1\( \alpha \)6 gene

We generated mice in which the GABA\(_A\) receptor \( \alpha \)6 subunit is ectopically expressed in the brain by using the Thy-1.2 transgene cassette (Caroni, 1997; Fig. 1 and Methods). Thy-1 is a universally expressed neuronal gene; depending on the random integration position of the corresponding transgene in the mouse genome, different but inherently stable patterns of transgene expression are produced (Caroni, 1997). Fig. 1A shows the expression of the Thy1\( \alpha \)6 transgene as seen by mRNA in situ hybridization to adult brain sections. Transgene expression was specifically detectable with an oligonucleotide that hybridizes to the introduced Flag nucleotide sequence marking Thy1\( \alpha \)6 transcripts. Strong transgene expression was found in many brain regions; for example, deep cerebellar nuclei, deep layers of neocortex (layer V stronger than layer VI), layer II of neocortex in the somatosensory area, hippocampal pyramidal cells and dentate granule cells, cells throughout the colliculi, cells in the septum, and olfactory bulb mitral cells (Fig. 1A and B). Unlike the native \( \alpha \)6 gene (Fig. 1C), the Thy1\( \alpha \)6 transgene was not expressed in cerebellar granule cells. Western blots with an \( \alpha \)6 subunit-selective antibody showed a 57 kDa band in Thy1\( \alpha \)6 but not C57BL/6 wild-type forebrain membranes (Tretter and Sieghart, data not shown), thus, confirming that the Thy1\( \alpha \)6 mRNA was translated to a subunit of the expected size.

3.2. Extra-cerebellar expression of the \( \alpha \)6 subunit protein in Thy1\( \alpha \)6 mice

Consistent with the in situ hybridization results, immunofluorescence labeling revealed a widespread but selective expression of the \( \alpha \)6 subunit from the rostral isocortex through to the caudal brain stem in Thy1\( \alpha \)6 but not in C57BL/6 (wild-type) mice (Fig. 2) (note: in both wild-type and transgenic brains the cerebellar granule cell layer was strongly \( \alpha \)6-immunopositive (not shown) resulting from the native \( \alpha \)6 subunit gene expression e.g. see Fig. 1C for native \( \alpha \)6 mRNA). In any given Thy1\( \alpha \)6 brain area only some of the cells were \( \alpha \)6-immunonegative (Fig. 2). In general, but not always, large cell types showed strong expression. In the isocortex, most of the largest pyramidal cells were \( \alpha \)6-immunopositive in layer V, particularly in frontal and parietal areas (Fig. 2). In the hippocampal formation, the strongest expression was in the subiculum, followed by CA1 pyramidal cells, some of the CA3 pyramidal cells and most dentate granule cells (Fig. 2A). The CA2 pyramidal cells were immunonegative. Particularly strong \( \alpha \)6 subunit expression was present in the globus pallidus and zona reticulata of the substantia nigra, the nucleus ruber, the large cells in the intermediate gray layer of the superior colliculus, some cells of the pontine nuclei, the vestibular nuclei, the deep cerebellar nuclei, the giganto-cellular reticular formation, the trigeminal nucleus and the lateral reticular nucleus. A notable immunonegative large-sized cell population was the Purkinje cells.

In all areas \( \alpha \)6 immunoreactivity was present in the cell body and extended along the dendrites to varying degrees, in some cases clearly delineating the plasma membrane. For example, the entire somato-dendritic surface of layer V pyramidal cells was immunopositive (Fig. 2C and D) and also outlined all the dendritic spines (Fig. 2E). Similarly, in the subiculum and CA1 hippocampal area, the entire somato-dendritic domain of the pyramidal cells was strongly and uniformly \( \alpha \)6 subunit-immunopositive. This \( \alpha \)6 subunit distribution did not
Fig. 2. Expression of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor α<sub>6</sub> subunit in forebrain neurones of the Thy1<sub>α</sub>6 mouse. Immunofluorescence detection with antibody to the N-terminal part of the subunit. A. Parasagittal section of the brain showing strong expression of the α<sub>6</sub> subunit in the subiculum (Sub) and the CA1 hippocampal areas, and weaker immunoreactivity in layer 5 of the isocortex (l.5.) and the dentate gyrus (dg). B. Higher magnification view of the CA1 area demonstrating the subunit throughout the somatic and dendritic membranes of pyramidal cells with clearly labeled cell bodies is stratum pyramidale (str. pyr). C–E. Strong expression of the α<sub>6</sub> subunit in a subpopulation of large layer 5 (l.5.) pyramidal cells in the frontal cortex. The subunit is present throughout the soma-dendritic surface of the cells, including the apical dendrites (ad) and the dendritic spines (e.g. arrows). Scales: A–D, 100 µm; E, 20 µm.

seem to follow the known density of GABAergic terminals innervating these cells. The surface α<sub>6</sub> subunit immunolabeling also seemed uniform in other strongly α<sub>6</sub> subunit-immunopositive cell types. In addition to the surface labeling, immunoreactivity was present intracellularly (excluding the nucleus). This intracellular α<sub>6</sub> subunit pool may have corresponded to protein in the process of synthesis in the endoplasmic reticulum or to partially assembled receptors.

3.3. Assembly of Thy1-expressed α<sub>6</sub> subunit with other GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits: widespread formation of α<sub>6</sub>βγ<sub>2</sub> receptors and absence of α<sub>6</sub>βδ receptors

The Thy1<sub>α</sub>6 brains were analyzed by ligand binding and protein biochemistry to confirm and probe how the forebrain-expressed α<sub>6</sub> subunit assembles with native GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits. α<sub>6</sub>βγ<sub>2</sub> receptors can bind the benzodiazepine partial inverse agonist Ro 15-4513 but
cannot bind benzodiazepine full agonists such as diazepam (Lüddens et al., 1990; Barnard et al., 1998; Hevers and Lüddens, 1998). This property can be used to cleanly assay for α6-containing receptors which also contain a γ2 subunit (Mäkelä et al., 1997). Fig. 3 shows autoradiography of the α6 subunit-specific binding component (DIS binding of [3H]Ro 15-4513) in Thy1α6 and wild-type brains. The characteristic signature of α6βγ2 receptors, confined to the cerebellar granule cell layer of non-transgenic mice, was additionally present in brain regions of Thy1α6 mice in a pattern (Fig. 3) in good agreement with that obtained by in situ hybridization (Fig. 1) and α6-immunocytochemistry (Fig. 2). DIS binding highlighted particularly the CA1 area of hippocampus and the inner layers of the neocortex (Fig. 3), suggesting the assembly of the transgenic α6 subunit into α6βγ2 receptors in these brain regions.

Consistent with the autoradiographic results, Scatchard analysis (n = 4) with [3H]Ro 15-4513 on membranes prepared from wild-type and Thy1α6 mice forebrains gave a 28% increase of Ro15-4513 binding in the Thy1α6 over wild-type (not shown); most of this increased binding (approximately 80%) was DIS binding (data not shown) suggesting that many of the forebrain α6 subunits assembled into α6βγ2 receptors. To examine the receptor subunit composition of the α6-containing receptors in Thy1α6 brains in more detail, forebrain receptors were extracted and chromatographed on an α6-immunoadfinity column. The column was washed and the receptors were then eluted, precipitated, electrophoresed and Western blotted. In addition to α6 subunits, α1, α2, β1, β2, β3 and γ2 subunits were retained by the column, and could be detected in the column eluate (Fig. 4), consistent with the formation of α6βγ2, α1α6βγ2 and α3α6βγ2 receptors. No α4, α5 or δ subunits could be identified. Detection sensitivity, however, depends not only on the total subunit amount, but also on the efficiency of the antibodies for labeling the subunits and so the signals do not reflect the actual amounts of receptors containing these subunits. Absence of labeling does not necessary mean that these subunits are not there. It is possible that subunits only present in small amounts are below the detection limit of the antibody. To confirm these column purification data and to get a quantitative estimate, we immunoprecipitated receptors using α6-, γ2-, or δ-specific antibodies and then did muscimol binding assays, both before and after the α6 column; comparable amounts (fmole/mg protein) of α6 and γ2 subunit-containing receptors were eliminated by the column, but the amount of δ subunit containing receptors present in the original extract was barely reduced, indicating that if α6βδ receptors do form in Thy1α6 forebrains, they make a minor contribution (data not shown). This again indicates that most α6 receptors in Thy1α6 forebrain contained γ2 subunits.

Fig. 3. Autoradiographic images of [3H]Ro 15-4513 binding to wild-type and Thy-1α6 mouse brain sections. [3H]Ro 15-4513 binding was studied in the absence and presence of 10 µM diazepam to reveal the α6 subunit-selective DIS binding component. Ctx: cortex; Gr: cerebellar granule cell layer; Hi: hippocampus; Th: thalamus. Images for wild-type and Thy-1 α6 mouse brains were processed from the same films with identical scaling for brightness and contrast. Fig. 4. Western blots indicating GABA_A receptor subunits co-purifying with Thy1α6 subunits on an α6(317-371)-immunoadfinity column. Thy1α6 forebrain extracts were chromatographed on an α6(317-371) immunoadfinity column. The column was washed, eluates of the column were precipitated and the precipitates were subjected to SDS-Page and Western blot analysis using the following digoxigenated antibodies: α1(1–9), α6(317–371), α3(338–385), β1(350–404), β2(351–405), β3(345–408) and γ2(319–366). The antibodies used selectively recognized their respective subunit and did not display any cross-reactivity with other GABA_A receptor subunits. Intensity of labeling does not reflect the amounts of subunit present, because the individual antibodies exhibit different labeling efficiencies. The experiment was performed three times with comparable results.
3.4. Subcellular localization of α6 subunit immunoreactivity in forebrain neurons

To investigate the subcellular distribution of α6 subunits in the Thy1α6 forebrain, two strongly α6-immunopositive cell types, the layer V isocortical and the CA1 hippocampal pyramidal cells were chosen on the basis of their well characterized synaptic responses and known GABAergic innervations (Somogyi et al., 1998). In the hippocampal CA1 area of Thy1α6 mice, pre-embedding immunoperoxidase labeling using the α6(317–371) antibodies showed a homogeneous intracellular labeling, in accordance with the α6 subunit epitope being cytoplasmic. The peroxidase product covered all organelles and synaptic specializations, filling also the dendritic spines (Fig. 5A). The pre-embedding immunogold labeling showed that most of the immunoreactivity associated with the extrasynaptic plasma membrane of dendrites (Fig. 5A–C), including the extrasynaptic membrane of dendritic spines (Fig. 5A–C). Postembedding immunogold reactions in addition showed clear labeling of type II synaptic junctions on pyramidal cell somata (Fig. 5D) and dendrites (Fig. 5E). Synaptic labeling was rare and few particles were found in labeled synapses.

In layers II–V of the isocortex of Thy1α6 mice, α6-immunopositive dendrites were easily identified both in immunoperoxidase (not shown), and pre-embedding immunogold labeling (Fig. 6). Immunogold labeling was mostly along the plasma membranes and strongest on the extrasynaptic membrane of dendrites (Fig. 6A and C) and dendritic spines (Fig. 6B). In addition, immunolabeling occurred occasionally at the postsynaptic mem-
brane specialization of type II (GABAergic) synapses on dendrites (Fig. 6D), as well as on pyramidal cell somata (Fig. 6E) in layer V. Type I synapses were immunonegative.

3.5. Spontaneous, action potential-dependent and -independent IPSCs in Thy1α6 CA1 pyramidal cells

To examine if the non-synaptic localization of the α6βγ2 receptors in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells had functional consequences we looked at the electrophysiological properties of synaptic and extrasynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-mediated responses in hippocampal slices prepared from wild-type (C57BL/6) and Thy1α6 brains.

We first described the basic parameters of fast inhibitory synaptic transmission onto CA1 pyramidal cells. Spontaneous IPSCs recorded in CA1 pyramidal cells of C57BL/6 mice, in the presence of 3 mM kynurenic acid to block ionotropic glutamate receptors (Fig. 7A), had a mean frequency of 19.75 ± 2.38 Hz (range 8.68–36.61 Hz, n = 14), compared with a mean of 12.61 ± 1.33 Hz (4.84–21.11 Hz, n = 15; p < 0.05) in CA1 pyramids of Thy1α6 mice (Fig. 7C). The peak amplitude of the averaged IPSC for C57BL/6 mice was −46.32 ± 2.27 pA (n = 14), and this was not significantly different to that observed in Thy1α6 mice (−44.62 ± 2.80 pA, n = 15; p > 0.5) (Fig. 7B and C). Similarly, the weighted decay time constant (τ<sub>W</sub>) of the averaged IPSC was not significantly different between the C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice (C57BL/6 = 4.12 ± 0.27 ms, n = 14; Thy1α6 = 4.72 ± 0.34 ms, n = 15; p > 0.1) (Fig. 7B and C), nor is the 10–90% rise time different (C57BL/6 = 0.34 ± 0.02 ms, n = 14; Thy1α6 = 0.31 ± 0.02 ms, n = 15; p > 0.1). The spontaneous IPSCs recorded under such conditions in both wild-type C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice disappeared after focal application of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor specific antagonist SR95531 (50 µM), and therefore, solely depended on activation of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (C57BL/6, n = 14; Thy1α6, n = 15) (data not shown).

3.6. Increased tonic, GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-mediated current in Thy1α6 CA1 pyramidal cells

Because of the marked extrasynaptic location of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors containing the α6 subunit on CA1 pyramidal cells we tested the tonic inhibitory current in
these cells. Tonic current was assayed as follows: the antagonist SR95531 was applied, and any difference between baseline current pre- and post-drug application was assumed to be the tonic current. However, the baseline current prior to SR95531 application was not stable, and drifted during recording. Thus, we aimed to measure significant differences between the drift of the baseline current prior to SR95531 application (Δcontrol), and the shift in the baseline current caused by the action of SR95531 (ΔSR95531) (Nusser and Mody, 2002). In the presence of 3 mM kynurenic acid, SR95531 (50 μM) blocked GABA<sub>Δ</sub> receptor mediated IPSCs, but did not reveal a tonic GABA<sub>Δ</sub> receptor mediated current in either wild-type C57BL/6 (n = 14) or Thy1α6 mice (n = 15) (data not shown). Because this lack of effect may have been due to low ambient GABA levels in the continuously perfused slice, the experiment was repeated with the GABA uptake blocker (GAT-1 antagonist) NO-711 (10 μM) in the aCSF to increase GABA concentration in the extracellular space (Borden et al., 1994; Frahm et al., 2001; Nusser and Mody, 2002). Focal application of SR 95531 (50 μM) in the presence of kynurenic acid and NO-711 not only abolished spontaneous IPSCs in cells from C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice, but also revealed a tonic GABA<sub>Δ</sub> receptor mediated current, evident as a positive shift in the baseline current of the cell (Fig. 8A and B). Comparing the shift in baseline current caused by the onset of SR 95531 (ΔSR95531) to a period of quiescent baseline prior to SR 95531 onset (Δcontrol) revealed a tonic current in both C57BL/6 (n = 12; p < 0.01) and Thy1α6 mice (n = 9; p < 0.01) (Fig. 8C and D, respectively). Comparison of ΔSR95531 caused by SR 95531 application between C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice revealed a significantly larger tonic GABA<sub>Δ</sub> receptor mediated current in Thy1α6 mice (n = 12 vs. 9, respectively; p < 0.001) (Fig. 8E). Subtracting Δcontrol from ΔSR95531 gave a measure of the size of the tonic current. This was approximately five-fold larger in the Thy1α6 (54.10 ± 14.16pA, n = 9) compared with the C57BL/6 wild-type mice (10.72 ± 2.32pA, n = 12; p < 0.01) (Fig. 8F). Similarly, the calculated conductance of the tonic current was five-fold larger in the Thy1α6 mice (Thy1α6 = 902.53 ± 238.45pS, n = 9; C57BL/6 = 176.08 ± 37.55pS, n = 12; p < 0.01). Importantly, comparison of the change in baseline cur-

---

Fig. 7. Comparison of spontaneous GABA<sub>Δ</sub> receptor mediated IPSCs in CA1 pyramidal cells of C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice. A. Series of 1 s epochs of spontaneous IPSCs recorded from a CA1 pyramidal cell of a C57BL/6 mouse (left) and a Thy1α6 mouse (right), respectively, in the presence of kynurenic acid (3 mM). B. Comparison of the waveform of the averaged IPSC for the cells depicted in A and superposition of the normalized averaged IPSCs (solid line, C57BL/6; dashed line, Thy1α6). C57BL/6, peakamplitude = -48.63pA, τ<sub>α</sub> = 4.12ms, 10–90% risetime = 0.29ms (n = 310 individual events); Thy1α6, peakamplitude = -46.59pA, τ<sub>α</sub> = 4.61ms, 10–90% risetime = 0.21ms (n = 481 individual events). C. Comparison of peak amplitude, τ<sub>α</sub> and frequency between C57BL/6 (n = 14 cells) and Thy1α6 (n = 15 cells) mice. * = p < 0.05 (Student’s unpaired t-test).

Fig. 8. CA1 hippocampal pyramidal cells of Thy1α6 mice exhibit a larger GABA<sub>Δ</sub> receptor mediated tonic current than those of C57BL/6 mice. A and B. Determination of the presence of a tonic current following focal application of the GABA<sub>Δ</sub> receptor antagonist SR 95531 (50 μM, white bar), in the presence of kynurenic acid (3 mM) and the GABA uptake blocker NO-711 (10 μM). Notice the positive shift in baseline current following the onset of the drug, identified by cessation of the inward spontaneous events (dotted line is the approximate continuation of the initial baseline current). Horizontal black bars (BL1, BL2 and BL3) indicate the five second epochs used to calculate the presence of the tonic current (see Methods for details). C and D. Comparison of the baseline currents prior (Δcontrol) and subsequent to (ΔSR95531, marked ΔBL1 in figure) and to another current (ΔSR95531, marked ΔBL2 in figure) drug onset in C57BL/6 (n = 12 cells) and Thy1α6 mice (n = 9 cells), respectively. E. Comparison of the shift in baseline current caused by SR 95531 (ΔSR95531, marked ΔBL2 in figure) between C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice. F. Comparison of the calculated tonic current (ΔSR95531 - Δcontrol, marked ΔBL1 - ΔBL2 in figure) between C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice. C–F; ** = p < 0.005 (Student’s paired and unpaired t-test). Calibration bars in A also apply to B.
rent prior to SR 95531 onset (i.e. $\Delta_{\text{control}}$) between C57BL/6 (9.33 ± 2.31pA) and Thy1α6 (13.22 ± 3.72pA) mice gave no significant difference ($n = 12$ vs. $9; p > 0.1$). Therefore, the presence of a larger tonic GABA$_A$ receptor-mediated current could not be explained by different initial experimental conditions between the C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice.

The extrasynaptic locus of the $\alpha 6$ subunit containing receptors was supported by comparison of the baseline standard deviation prior and subsequent to SR 95531 onset (i.e. $\Delta_{\text{control}}$) between C57BL/6 (pre = 6.30 ± 0.29pA, post = 5.40 ± 0.24pA, $n = 12$; $p < 0.001$) and Thy1α6 mice (pre = 7.11 ± 0.30pA, post = 5.20 ± 0.29pA, $n = 9; p < 0.001$). Thus, in both C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice, extrasynaptic receptors contributed to baseline noise. However, calculation of the single channel conductance of the extrasynaptic GABA$_A$ receptors underlying the tonic current showed no difference between mouse strains (C57BL/6 = 14.27 ± 3.26pS, $n = 8$; Thy1α6 = 12.63 ± 5.37pS, $n = 9; p > 0.5$). Therefore, the larger tonic current in the Thy1α6 mice probably arose from more extrasynaptic receptors compared with those in the C57BL/6 mice. Calculation of the mean number of open channels generating the tonic current in C57BL/6 (approximately 12) and Thy1α6 mice (approximately 71) indicated this was the case, and was a further indication that the $\alpha 6$ subunit was incorporated into functional extrasynaptic receptors in the Thy1α6 mice (note: receptors in the synaptic junction may also contribute to baseline noise if activated by GABA released at distant synapses and diffusing into the synaptic cleft from the non-synaptic extracellular space).

Spontaneous IPSCs recorded in the presence of 10 $\mu$M NO-711 showed little difference between C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice, except that as under control conditions the frequency of IPSCs tended to be lower in Thy1α6 mice (C57BL/6 = 9.62 ± 1.41Hz, $n = 12$; Thy1α6 = 5.71 ± 0.78Hz, $n = 7; p = 0.06$). No significant difference was found in the peak amplitude (C57BL/6 = −44.72 ± 2.71pA, $n = 12$; Thy1α6 = −38.31 ± 2.92pA, $n = 7; p > 0.1$), $\tau_w$ (C57BL/6 = 5.67 ± 0.29ms, $n = 12$; Thy1α6 = 4.95 ± 0.49ms, $n = 7; p > 0.1$) or the 10–90% rise time (C57BL/6 = 0.37 ± 0.02ms, $n = 12$; Thy1α6 = 0.39 ± 0.04ms, $n = 7; p > 0.5$) of the averaged IPSCs.

### 3.7. mIPSCs in Thy1α6 CA1 pyramidal cells

Addition of the voltage-activated sodium channel blocker TTX (1 $\mu$M) to the aCSF, in the continuing presence of kynurenic acid (3 mM) to block ionotropic glutamate receptors, isolated action potential-independent mIPSCs. These mIPSCs arose from spontaneous quantal release of GABA from pre-synaptic terminals (Fig. 9A). The mIPSC frequency was not significantly different between C57BL/6 (16.89 ± 2.81Hz, $n = 17$) and Thy1α6 (13.39 ± 1.87Hz, $n = 15; p > 0.1$) mice. However, the peak amplitude of the averaged mIPSC was significantly larger in C57BL/6 wild-type compared with Thy1α6 mice (−42.04 ± 2.73vs. −29.74 ± 2.89pA, $n = 17$ and 15, respectively $p < 0.01$) (Fig. 9B), but $\tau_w$ (4.43 ± 0.16ms vs. 4.87 ± 0.27ms, $n = 17$ and 15; $p > 0.1$) (Fig. 9B) and the 10–90% rise time (0.32 ± 0.01ms vs. 0.33 ± 0.02ms, $n = 17$ and 15; $p > 0.5$) were not.

### 3.8. Testing for $\alpha 6$-containing GABA$_A$ receptors in Thy1α6 CA1 pyramidal cell synapses: effect of furosemide and zolpidem on synaptic responses

The electron microscopy data showed that rare $\alpha 6$-subunit positive synapses existed on CA1 pyramidal...
cells (Fig. 5). To evaluate the overall significance of these infrequent α6 subunit-positive synapses, we used a global measure, synaptic response. If significant numbers of α6-subunit containing synapses were present on any given CA1 pyramidal cell, we would have expected the pharmacology of the synaptic response to change. Most wild-type CA1 pyramidal cell mIPSCs we recorded probably derived from synapses contacting the soma; many of these synapses would have contained α1 GABA_A receptor subunits, probably in an α1β2/2γ2 configuration (Klausberger et al., 2002; Nusser et al., 1996a; Somogyi et al., 1996). But in the Thy1α6 mice the receptor composition in some of these same CA1 pyramidal synapses might have been α1α6βγ2 and/or α1βγ2 together with α6βγ2. On cerebellar granule cells, α6-containing receptors in α6βγ2 and α1α6βγ2 configurations are synaptic and contribute to synaptic currents (Nusser et al., 1996a,b; Mellor et al., 2000); however, IPSCs on some cerebellar granule cells have reduced responses to benzodiazepine agonists because of a dominant influence of the synaptic α6 subunit over the α1 subunit with respect to benzodiazepine pharmacology (Sigel and Baur, 2000; Hevers and Lüddens, 2002); furthermore, granule cells with no α6 subunits are more benzodiazepine-sensitive (Jones et al., 1997; Korpi et al., 1999). By analogy, these factors suggest that in Thy1α6 CA1 pyramidal cells any significant numbers of synthetically located α6 subunits will decrease the benzodiazepine response of GABA_A receptor subtypes.

Bath application of 10 μM zolpidem (an α1β2-selective benzodiazepine agonist—Barnard et al., 1998; Hevers and Lüddens, 1998) does not significantly change the mIPSC frequency in either C57BL/6 (pre-zolpidem = 20.91 ± 466 Hz, post-zolpidem = 23.46 ± 6.04 Hz n = 9; p > 0.1) or Thy1α6 mice (pre = 13.85 ± 3.28 Hz, post = 15.83 ± 3.55 Hz, n = 7; p > 0.1). In addition, there is no significant increase in the peak amplitude for either C57BL/6 (pre = −45.06 ± 3.63pA, post = −48.43 ± 3.51pA, n = 9; p > 0.1) or Thy1α6 mice (pre = −34.14 ± 5.61pA, post = −40.58 ± 7.52pA, n = 7; p > 0.1). In contrast, zolpidem causes a significant increase in τ_w of the averaged mIPSC in both C57BL/6 (pre = 4.37 ± 0.19 ms, post = 6.80 ± 0.28 ms, n = 9; p < 0.0001) and Thy1α6 mice (pre = 4.94 ± 0.55 ms, post = 7.39 ± 0.15 ms, n = 7; p < 0.01) (Fig. 10E-G). The percentage change in peak amplitude and τ_w following zolpidem application between C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice, however, is not significantly different for either measure (peak amplitude, C57BL/6 = 108.65 ± 4.9%; Thy1α6 = 117.78 ± 8.44%; p > 0.1; τ_w, C57BL/6 = 157.48 ± 8.57%; Thy1α6 = 160.11 ± 16.29%; p > 0.5; n = 9 vs. 7 for both) (Fig. 10H). Thus, the effect of zolpidem is similar between C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice.

As a further test for the presence of GABA_A receptors with α6 subunits in the synapse we looked at the furosemide response. Furosemide selectively antagonizes α4 and α6 subunit-containing receptors in the configuration α4/6β2/2γ2 and can be used to probe for these receptor subtypes (Korpi et al., 1995; Knoefich et al., 1996; Wafford et al., 1996; Korpi and Lüddens, 1997). We tested if the effects of furosemide on mIPSCs in CA1 pyramidal cells are different in Thy1α6 compared with C57BL/6 wild-type mice. Bath application of furosemide (600 μM) causes little change in the frequency of mIPSCs in either mouse strain (C57BL/6, pre − furosemide = 10.46 ± 1.80 Hz, post − furosemide = 9.60 ± 1.45 Hz, n = 5; p > 0.1; Thy1α6, pre = 11.76 ± 3.54 Hz, post = 11.94 ± 3.21 Hz, n = 5; p < 0.5). However, furosemide produces a small decrease in the peak amplitude of the averaged mIPSC in Thy1α6 (pre = −25.67 ± 2.72pA, post = −19.46 ± 1.07pA, n = 5; p < 0.05), but not wild-type C57BL/6 mice (pre = −39.35 ± 1.92pA, post = −34.34 ± 3.69pA, n = 5; p > 0.1) (Fig. 10A and B). Furosemide also significantly increased τ_w in both C57BL/6 (pre = 4.78 ± 0.36 ms, post = 6.07 ± 0.56 ms, n = 5; p < 0.05) and Thy1α6 mice (pre = 4.87 ± 0.26pA, post = 5.36 ± 0.20pA, n = 5; p < 0.05) (Fig. 10A and C). There was no significant difference in the decrease in peak amplitude and increase in τ_w of the averaged mIPSCs between C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice, as determined by calculating the changes as percentage of the pre-furosemide values (peak amplitude, C57BL/6 = 87.65 ± 9.52%; Thy1α6 = 77.64 ± 4.63%; p > 0.1; τ_w, C57BL/6 = 126.94 ± 6.91%; Thy1α6 = 110.72 ± 3.96; p > 0.05; n = 5 vs. 5 for both) (Fig. 10D). Reducing furosemide concentration from 600 to 60 μM had little effect on the properties of the averaged mIPSCs in either C57BL/6 or Thy1α6 mice (both n = 3).

The zolpidem and furosemide data show that the synaptic GABA_A receptors containing the α6 subunit, if present, are not significant relative to the normal synaptic receptor complement.

4. Discussion

During evolution the GABA_A receptor α6 subunit has maintained selectively associated with cerebellar granule cells and their homologues (Lüddens et al., 1990; Bahn et al., 1996; Hadingham et al., 1996; Campos et al., 2001; Varecka et al., 1994). In these cells the α6 subunit contributes to receptor types associated with extrasynaptic (tonic) inhibition (Brickley et al., 2001), as well as synaptic receptors (Nusser et al., 1996a,b; Mellor et al., 2000). This remarkably tight conservation of α6 expression suggests that tonic inhibition is important. In fact, in rodent granule cells, 90% of GABA_A receptor-mediated the charge transfer is through the tonic current (Brickley et al., 2001). On the premise that misex-
Fig. 10. Effects of furosemide and zolpidem on mIPSCs recorded from CA1 pyramidal cells of C57BL/6 and Thy1α6 mice. A. Comparison of effects of furosemide (600 µM) on the waveform of the averaged mIPSC recorded from a CA1 pyramidal cell of a C57BL/6 mouse (left) and a Thy1α6 mouse (right) in the presence of kynurenic acid (3 mM) and TTX (1 µM). B. Cumulative probability graphs of the effect of furosemide on peak amplitude for the cells shown in A. Thicker line represents pre-furosemide, thinner line post-furosemide (C57BL/6, pre-furosemide, n = 145 individual mIPSCs, post-furosemide, n = 308). The effect of furosemide for both these cells is to cause a slight shift up and to the left, indicating a decrease in peak amplitude. C. Cumulative probability graphs of the effects of furosemide on time taken to reach 90% of decay, for the same cells as in A (n of individual mIPSCs as in B). Furosemide causes a shift down and to the right (left), indicating an increase in time to decay, or has no effect (right). D. Comparison of effects of furosemide on peak amplitude and τ_w between mouse strains. E. Comparison of effects of zolpidem (10 µM) on the waveform of the averaged mIPSC recorded from different CA1 pyramidal cells of a C57BL/6 mouse (left) and a Thy1α6 mouse (right) in the presence of kynurenic acid (3 mM) and TTX (1 µM). F. Cumulative probability graphs depicting the effect of zolpidem on the same cells as in E. Thicker line represents pre-zolpidem, thinner line post-zolpidem (C57BL/6, pre-zolpidem, n = 280 individual mIPSCs, post-zolpidem 300; Thy1α6, pre-zolpidem, n = 202 individual mIPSCs, post-zolpidem, n = 205). Note that there is little effect of zolpidem on peak amplitude for both cells. G. Cumulative probability graphs of the effect of zolpidem on the time to reach 90% of decay for the same cells as in E (n of individual mIPSCs as in F). The effect of zolpidem on time to decay is clearly a shift down and to the right as the result of a large increase in the decay time for both cells. H. Comparison of effects of zolpidem on peak amplitude and τ_w between mouse strains. Values in D and H are depicted as the percentage of the pre-drug mean for each mouse strain. Calibration bars in A also apply to E.

Expression studies can sometimes be revealing for protein function, we took the α6 subunit out of its natural granule cell environment and ectopically expressed it in other neuronal types. The results reveal a strong apparent conservation of function. The α6 subunits expressed in forebrain neurons in Thy1α6 mice form α6β2, α1α6βγ2 and α3α6βγ2 receptors, which are largely extrasynaptic on cortical and CA1 pyramidal cells. Consistent with this subcellular location, Thy1α6 CA1 pyramidal neurons have a five-fold increased tonic GABA_A receptor-mediated current compared with wild-type cells. The activation of these extrasynaptic receptors is probably mediated by ambient GABA spilling over from synaptic clefts since tonic currents on the CA1 pyramidal cells can only be detected by blocking the GABA uptake transporter GAT-1 (Frahm et al., 2001).

In Thy1α6 mice, most forebrain-expressed α6 immunoreactivity accumulates outside synaptic junctions. In
agreement with immunocytochemical data, there was little electrophysiological evidence for α6-containing GABA_Â receptors in the CA1 pyramidal cell synapse. Pharmacologically, the synaptic receptor populations were largely α1β2γ2 both in Thy1α6 and C57BL/6 mice, since the α6 subunit-selective antagonist furosemide had no greater effects on spontaneous IPs in Thy1α6 than C57BL/6 mice, and the α1 subunit-prefering benzodiazepine agonist zolpidem had similar effects on mIPSCs in both lines. Overall, the subcellular distribution of the α6 subunit in isocortex layer V pyramidal cells and the hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells in Thy1α6 mice resembles the subcellular distribution of the native α6 subunit in cerebellar granule cells of wild-type rodents, in as much as the α6 subunit is in the extrasynaptic membrane of dendrites and less frequently in type II (GABAergic) synapses (Nusser et al., 1996a,b, 1998, 1999). Due to the rare occurrence of forebrain synaptic labeling for the α6 subunit we did not attempt to quantify the proportion of labeled synapses. Both immunological methods may underestimate synaptic labeling: the pre-embedding method is limited by antibody penetration; and the postembedding method may have limited sensitivity. Nevertheless, based on the immunofluorescence results it is likely that most surface transgenic α6 subunit-containing receptors are in the extrasynaptic membrane.

The strong labeling of the extrasynaptic membrane of dendritic spines with the α6 subunit-specific antibody was unexpected because spines rarely receive GABA-ergic innervation (Megias et al., 2001). Perhaps the transgenic expression of the α6 subunit triggers GABA_Â receptor targeting to dendritic spines; or the incorporation of the α6 subunit reveals the normally high level of spine GABA_Â receptors, which has not previously been detected through lack of suitable antibodies. For example, the precise subcellular location of α5 or α4 subunit-containing GABA_Â receptors on the pyramidal cell surface in vivo is not known and these subunits may be present on the extrasynaptic membrane of dendritic spines. In fact, in hippocampal pyramidal neurons, the α5 subunit seems largely extrasynaptic (Brüning et al., 2002; Crestani et al., 2002).

From ligand binding and protein immunopurification, the α6 subunits in the Thy1α6 forebrain are mainly incorporated into α6βγ2 receptors and some also exist as α1α6βγ2 and α3α6βγ2 receptors. In cerebellar granule cells, many of the α6 receptor subunits are likely located in the synapse, probably in receptors consisting of α6β2γ2 and/or α1α6βγ2 subunits (Somogyi et al., 1996; Nusser et al., 1996a,b, 1999; Pollard et al., 1995; Khan et al., 1996; Jechlinger et al., 1998; Sigel and Baur, 2000; reviewed in Wisden and Farrant, 2002). In both forebrain and cerebellar synapses the γ2 subunit is believed essential for either bringing the receptors into the synapse or tethering them there, probably via binding proteins such as gephyrin (Essrich et al., 1998; Kneussel et al., 1999; Moss and Smart, 2001). However, the γ2 subunit cannot be the sole factor for synaptic targeting because otherwise significant numbers of α6 subunits would be synaptically located in forebrain neurons of Thy1α6 mice. Thus, there must be some influence from the α subunit type and an interaction with the γ2 subunit in order to get synthetically targeted receptors (Kneussel et al., 2001).

In cerebellar granule cells, the α6βδ combination is prominent (Jones et al., 1997; Nusser et al., 1999). Why are no α6βδ receptors formed, at least to any great extent, in the forebrain of Thy1α6 mice? The α4 and α6 subunits are probably paralogues with similar functions; and in some neurons (e.g., those in thalamus and dentate granule cells) α6βδ-containing receptors may form receptors that sense extrasynaptic GABA (Sur et al., 1999; Korpi et al., 2002; Nusser and Mody, 2002; Peng et al., 2002). In Thy1α6 forebrain neurons, the α4 subunit may out-compete the α6 subunit during receptor subunit assembly because in absolute terms δ prefers α4 to α6. Alternatively, and more probably, the lack of α6βδ receptors is not surprising as Thy1α6 transgene expression does not substantially overlap with native δ subunit gene expression—perhaps the only strong overlap being the dentate granule cells—and the resulting α6βδ receptors formed in these cells would be at a too low concentration to be detectable in total forebrain extract. There is little detectable δ subunit mRNA or immunoreactivity in CA1 pyramidal cells (Shivers et al., 1989; Wisden et al., 1992; Fritschy and Mohler, 1995; Pirker et al., 2000; Sperk et al., 1997; Peng et al., 2002).

It seems likely that tonic currents can be generated by different α6 subunit subunit combinations and even different GABA_Â receptor subtypes. For example, GABA receptors formed from δ subunits are well adapted for a ‘tonic role’, forming non-desensitizing channels which give small currents (Zhang et al., 2001). In cerebellar granule cells recorded in tissue slices the tonic current is from α6βδ receptors (Brickley et al., 2001; Hamman et al., 2002); but in the presence of benzodiazepine agonists, extrasynaptic α1βγ2 receptors probably also contribute (Leao et al., 2000). In this case the affinity of α1βγ2 receptors for GABA is substantially increased by benzodiazepines, enabling them to respond to ambient extrasynaptic GABA. In Thy1α6 CA1 pyramidal cells, given the paucity of native δ subunit expression, the extrasynaptic current, we detect probably originates from either α6βγ2 or α1α6βγ2 receptors.

The functions of background conductances in neuronal circuits are unknown. Small and persistent (tonic) chloride conductances alter input resistance and membrane time constants; these in turn influence synaptic efficacy and integration (reviewed in Bai et al., 2001; De Schutter, 2002). For example, in cerebellar granule cells tonic inhibition via αδ subunit-containing GABA_Â
receptors could constrain coincidence detection from mossy fiber inputs, so that only closely timed excitatory inputs excite the cell to fire an action potential (Brickley et al., 2001; Hamann et al., 2002; De Schutter, 2002). Manipulating background inhibitory currents can probably influence epileptic thresholds. The Thy1α6 mice described here will be useful for studying the contributions and influences of background GABA_A receptor-mediated currents in inhibiting seizures (Cheng et al., 2001).

One further difference between the Thy1α6 and wild-type mice is worth comment. The significantly lower frequency of spontaneous IPSCs in Thy1α6 mice compared with C57BL/6 mice may result from a compensatory mechanism. A lower frequency of events was a trend for both spontaneous IPSCs recorded in the presence of NO- 711, and mIPSCs. In addition, the peak amplitude of the mIPSCs was significantly lower in Thy1α6 mice compared with the C57BL/6 strain and there was a trend for smaller peak amplitude of the averaged spontaneous IPSCs in Thy1α6 mice compared with those in C57BL/6 mice. One possible explanation is that the larger tonic current in the Thy1α6 mice, resulting in a greater level of baseline noise, masks small IPSCs/mIPSCs, thereby reducing their frequency. However, the smallest individual mIPSCs were consistently smaller in Thy1α6 mice compared with C57BL/6 mice; therefore, this scenario is unlikely. It is also possible that expression of the α6 subunit in GABAergic interneurones could reduce their α_2 subunit. It is also possible that expression of the α6 subunit reduces their frequency. However, the smallest individual mIPSCs were consistently smaller in Thy1α6 mice compared with C57BL/6 mice; therefore, this scenario is unlikely. It is also possible that expression of the α6 subunit in GABAergic interneurones could reduce their excitability and so reduce the frequency of IPSCs in pyramidal cells, but his could not explain the lower amplitude of mIPSCs. Therefore, the fascinating possibility remains that pyramidal cells activate intrinsic compensatory mechanisms, which, in response to the increased extrasynaptic tonic current, reduce the effects of synaptic GABA_A receptor-mediated inhibition. In cerebellar granule cells, genetic disruption of the α6 subunit results in a subsequent compensatory increase in a K^+/‘leak’ conductance, so that overall levels of tonic current are maintained (Brickley et al., 2001). Here, in the Thy1α6 mice, GABA_A receptor-implemented homeostatic mechanisms could be envisaged, as is observed for GABA_A receptor adaptations during benzodiazepine tolerance or in response to steroids (Arnot et al., 2001; Brussaard and Herbison, 2000; Gulinello et al., 2001; Smith et al., 1998).
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