The Basal Ganglia in Parkinson’s Disease: Current Concepts and Unexplained Observations
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The pathophysiology of Parkinson’s disease is reviewed in light of recent advances in the understanding of the functional organization of the basal ganglia (BG). Current emphasis is placed on the parallel interactions between corticostriatal and corticosubthalamic afferents on the one hand, and internal feedback circuits modulating BG output through the globus pallidus pars interna and substantia nigra pars reticulata on the other. In the normal BG network, the globus pallidus pars externa emerges as a main regulatory station of output activity. In the parkinsonian state, dopamine depletion shifts the BG toward inhibiting cortically generated movements by increasing the gain in the globus pallidus pars externa-subthalamic nucleus-globus pallidus pars interna network and reducing activity in “direct” cortico-putaminal-globus pallidus pars interna projections. Standard pharmacological treatments do not mimic the normal physiology of the dopaminergic system and, therefore, fail to restore a functional balance between corticostriatal afferents in the so-called direct and indirect pathways, leading to the development of motor complications. This review emphasizes the concept that the BG can no longer be understood as a “go-through” station in the control of movement, behavior, and emotions. The growing understanding of the complexity of the normal BG and the changes induced by DA depletion should guide the development of more efficacious therapies for Parkinson’s disease.
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The hallmark feature of Parkinson’s disease (PD) is degeneration of dopamine neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) and a consequent striatal dopamine deficiency. This dopamine deficiency leads to a cascade of functional changes in basal ganglia circuitry, which are ultimately responsible for the development of the cardinal features of PD.1–3 The essential pathophysiological characteristic of the PD state is increased neuronal firing activity in the output nuclei of the basal ganglia (globus pallidus pars interna [GPi] and substantia nigra pars reticulata [SNr]) leading to excessive inhibition of thalamocortical and brainstem motor systems. This was initially proposed to arise as a consequence of increased firing in basal ganglia output...
neurons resulting from reduced inhibition in the “direct” striatal pathway and increased excitation of subthalamic neurons consequent to increased activity in striatopallidal GABAergic neurons in the “indirect” pathway\(^1\)–\(^3\) (Fig 1A).

A determination of the functional state of the basal ganglia network after nigrostriatal denervation has been achieved by electrophysiological, metabolic, and biochemical studies (Table). Results in PD patients have produced somewhat conflicting results because of confounding factors such as the use of antiparkinsonian drugs, and variability in the clinical state and the degree of striatal dopamine depletion in different individuals. To avoid these influences, this review primarily relies on results obtained in 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP)–intoxicated monkeys and 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA)–lesioned rats.

The basal ganglia has traditionally been considered to be a “go-through” system, where cortical afferent activity is modulated by the basal ganglia and is then sent back to the cortex to modify motor activity (“the motor loop”). Current thinking has been somewhat modified, and emphasis is now placed on the parallel interactions between corticostriatal and corticosubthalamic afferents on the one hand, and internal feedback circuits modulating basal ganglia output through the GPi and SNr on the other hand (Fig 2). The basal ganglia are functionally subdivided into motor, oculomotor, association, limbic, and orbitofrontal loops based on their relationship to the relevant cortical projection area.\(^4\)–\(^6\)

Thus, in addition to the well-known role of the basal ganglia in motor control, it is now appreciated that functions such as attention and time estimation, implicit learning and habit formation, reward-related behaviors and emotions are all associated with activation of cortical loops that connect with the caudate nucleus, the anterior putamen, or the ventral putamen.\(^7\)–\(^9\) Dopamine denervation is thus now appreciated to be associated with a variety of different clinical manifestations in addition to parkinsonism such as impaired executive functions (ie, working memory), reduced motivation, depression, and apathy. Importantly, a variety of motor and behavioral disorders (ie, dyskinesia, dopamine dysregulation syndrome, and impulse-control disorders) can be seen in association with the chronic use of dopaminergic drugs, and may well be caused by abnormal modulation of these subcircuits and their connections. Whether each of these different cortico-striatal-pallidal loops is functionally organized and operates in an identical and parallel manner is not yet known. Most studies in PD and animal models have focused on the motor features associated with dopamine depletion and replacement, and have not addressed the molecular and physiological changes that occur in the different subcircuit...
Fig 2. New model of the basal ganglia based on Nambu’s work. Cortical innervation to the basal ganglia is primarily by way of corticostriatal and corticosubthalamic projections. The primary basal ganglia projections back to the cortex originate in the GPi (and SNr) and pass through the ventral nuclei of the thalamus (VL-VA). Several “internal” or “horizontal” circuits control basal ganglia excitability. These include substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) dopaminergic projections to the striatum and other basal ganglia nuclei, centromedian-parafascicular (CM/Pf) thalamic projections to the striatum and subthalamic nucleus (STN), and reciprocal connections between the globus pallidus pars externa (GPe) and the STN and striatum. In this model, the GPe appears to be strategically placed to modulate basal ganglia output.
circuits that are now appreciated to be incorporated within the basal ganglia.

Clearly, the classical model of the basal ganglia\(^1\)–\(^3\) has provided a conceptual framework for better understanding the pathophysiology of PD and other movement disorders. Indeed, it had a paramount role in revitalizing functional surgery for PD\(^10,11\) and in defining the subthalamic nucleus (STN) as a target.\(^12\)–\(^14\) Over the years, many observations made in the laboratory and in PD patients have highlighted some limitations of the original model.\(^15\)–\(^18\) Furthermore, there have been numerous advances in our understanding of the anatomic and physiological state of the basal ganglia in the normal and dopamine-depleted state. This new information is summarized in this article, together with new hypotheses as to how the basal ganglia may be organized in these different conditions. We hope this will allow for a more comprehensive understanding of the pathophysiology of PD and a more coherent approach to the treatment of PD.

Functional Organization of the Basal Ganglia in the Normal and Parkinsonian State

Input to the Basal Ganglia

Corticostrital Activity: Normal State. Most striatal neurons are medium spiny GABAergic neurons (MSNs). These neurons receive huge numbers (tens of thousands) of glutamatergic inputs from the cortex and thalamus, which predominantly form asymmetric contacts on the heads of dendritic spines.\(^19\) Input to MSNs also comes from dopaminergic, cholinergic, and GABAergic neurons, which tend to form thousands of symmetric synaptic contacts.\(^19\) In the rat, approximately 5,000 glutamatergic afferents project to each striatal MSN, and 100 MSNs project onto each pallidal neuron. This arrangement requires a precise selection mechanism to filter incoming and outgoing signals to perform precise movements. This activity is largely mediated by dopamine and, to some extent, by GABAergic interneurons. To date, no somatotopic arrangement has been found in nigrostriatal projections. However, dopamine has been shown to modulate (both presynaptically and postsynaptically) the effects of afferent glutamatergic terminals on MSNs.\(^20\)

The capacity of the striatum to filter the enormous number of incoming signals is facilitated by the functional anatomic and physiological organization of the striatum: (1) Cortical motor areas project in a somatotopically organized fashion to the striatum,\(^21\) and indeed throughout the entire motor loop.\(^22\) The leg is represented in the posterodorsal region of the putamen, the face ventrally, and the arm in-between. Cortical afferents from area 4 are placed laterally in the putamen with respect to projections from the supplementary motor area, which is more medially represented.\(^23\) (2) Corticostrital projections to MSNs that express D1 receptors are distinct from those projecting to MSN-expressing D2 receptors.\(^24\) Moreover, as described in the rat,\(^25\) D2-expressing MSNs in the “indirect” circuit receive glutamate inputs that originate in cortical layer V and have large synaptic contacts (mean diameter, 0.61\(\mu m\)). In contrast, cortical neurons projecting to MSNs in the “direct” circuit arise from layer III and upper layer V of the motor cortex and make thinner contact (mean, 0.45\(\mu m\)). This arrangement may enhance corticostrital transmission in the “direct” pathway, which facilitates movement. (3) Most MSNs express either D1 or D2 receptors (see Fig 1A); it is generally accepted that the majority of D1-expressing neurons comprise the “direct” pathway and project to the Gpi/SNr, whereas D2-bearing neurons project to the globus pallidus pars externa (GPe) and are part of the “indirect” pathway.\(^26,27\) (4) Dopamine exerts a dual effect on MSNs,\(^27\) inhibiting striatal D2-bearing neurons and exciting striatal neurons that express D1 receptors through L-type calcium channels.\(^28\) (5) Activation of selected nigrostriatal projections leads to increased dopamine levels in innervated striatal regions in parallel with a marked reduction in dopamine concentration in adjacent zones.\(^29\) This “sink and source” represents a signaling function of dopamine that may be related to reward, habit formation, and motor learning.\(^30\) (6) The striatum contains large numbers of interneurons.\(^19\) These include tonically active neurons (TANs), which are thought to be cholinergic, and fast-spiking interneurons, which are GABAergic. TANs have been recently shown to play a critical role in mediating the dopaminergic mechanisms associated with the plastic synaptic changes that induce long-term depression\(^31\) and learning.\(^32\) TANs receive glutamatergic excitatory innervation from the thalamus and the cortex,\(^33\) and exert a complex effect on MSN excitability. One preponderant mechanism of these neurons is presynaptic inhibition of cortical glutamatergic input onto MSNs, an effect mediated by activation of muscarinic M1 and M2 receptors.\(^34\) Thus, firing of cholinergic interneurons reduces MSN excitability to cortical afferents.\(^34\) On the other hand, the phasic release of dopamine as typically occurs with reward stimuli induces firing pauses of TANs, which, in turn, facilitates corticostrital transmission.

GABAergic interneurons mediate, together with axon collaterals from MSN neurons, a powerful intrastriatal inhibition.\(^35\) This is thought to provide a mechanism to facilitate firing of a given pool of MSNs when performing a specific motor task (Fig 3A). This is based on the physiological principle of lateral inhibition.\(^35\) The activity of these fast-spiking interneurons is also modulated by dopamine, which induces depolarization through activation of D1 receptors on their
cell surface and inhibition by acting at presynaptic D2 receptors.\textsuperscript{36,37}

Collectively, these mechanisms permit cortical activity corresponding to a given movement to be facilitated at the striatopallidal level, whereas competing stimuli are canceled (see Fig 3A). This is supported by experimental evidence indicating that dopamine enhances synchronous corticostriatal afferent volleys whereas simultaneously inhibiting other inputs.\textsuperscript{38}

CORTICOSTRIATAL ACTIVITY IN PARKINSON'S DISEASE. In the parkinsonian state, there is a general increase in striatal neuronal activity and particularly, an increment in the proportion of MSNs responding to stimulation of individual body parts. This translates into a reduction in somatotopic specificity.\textsuperscript{39} However, these changes in striatal excitability are not uniform. There is decreased excitation of D1-bearing striatal neurons leading to reduced activity in the "direct" pathway. In contrast, there is reduced inhibition of D2-bearing striatal neurons resulting in increased activity in striatopallidal (GPe) projections. Mallet and colleagues\textsuperscript{40} describe different responses of corticostriatal neurons to dopamine depletion, that is, a reduction of firing rates in the subpopulation of cortical neurons that project to MSNs in the “direct” pathway, but no effect on the activity of corticostriatal neurons projecting to MSNs, which cause the “indirect” pathway. Furthermore, voltage-clamp recordings demonstrate that, in the dopamine-depleted state, cortical terminals that project to MSNs in the “indirect” pathway are more likely to release glutamate and activate their target neurons.\textsuperscript{41} In keeping with these observations, increased mRNA expression for enkephalin,\textsuperscript{42,43} D2 receptors,\textsuperscript{44,45} and

![Fig 3. Schematic diagram of main corticobasal ganglia circuits and prevailing physiological concepts. (A) The "direct" and "hyperdirect" pathways. In the normal state, a movement-related corticostriatal signal excites a pool of medium spiny GABAergic neurons (MSNs) (large circle) in the target area of the motor striatum. At the same time, there is a parallel inhibition of surrounding MSNs (small circles) that are unrelated to the desired action mediated by dopamine and interneurons (small rough circles). Transmission in activated neurons in the "direct" pathway subsequently inhibits the concomitant pool of globus pallidus pars interna (GPi) neurons, resulting in facilitation of thalamocortical activity underlying the desired action. The cortical volley also activates neurons in the subthalamic nucleus (STN; "hyperdirect" pathway), which increases excitation of surrounding neuronal pools in the GPi, thereby inhibiting the corresponding region of the thalamus and the selection of unwanted movements. This combination of effects allows for specific activation of thalamocortical fibers subserving a given motor action coupled with inhibition of surrounding neurons that promote undesired movements. (B) Globus pallidus pars externa (GPe)-STN-GPi circuit. STN activation leads to excitation of the GPe, which in the normal state leads to further inhibition of the GPe neuronal pool associated with the desired movement, thereby facilitating the effect of the “direct” pathway and the selection of the appropriate motor activity. (C) In the parkinsonian state, there is impaired capacity to filter cortical inputs and to specifically activate MSN pools responsible for a desired motor activity. In addition, the STN-GPi pathway is hyperactive and responds excessively to input signals, which reduces the signal-to-noise ratio in the GPi. Altogether, these changes make precise movement selection and execution difficult.](image)
glutamic acid decarboxilase (GAD67\textsuperscript{46–48}) are found in the striatum in the parkinsonian state. In contrast, markers of cellular activity in the “direct” circuit such as mRNA expression of preprotachykinin, the gene precursor of the neuropeptide substance P, and preprodynorphin mRNA, the gene precursor of dynorphin, are decreased after dopamine lesion in the rat\textsuperscript{41,43,49} and in the MPTP monkey.\textsuperscript{42,50} Curiously, expression of mRNA for D1 receptor is decreased in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats\textsuperscript{49} but increased or unchanged in MPTP monkeys.\textsuperscript{44,45} These differences may be related to variations in the degree of dopamine depletion and the capacity for motor recovery between the rats and monkeys.

Dopamine depletion can also impair synaptic plasticity in several ways. Long-term depression or potentiation (LTP) induced on MSNs by cortical high-frequency stimulation\textsuperscript{51,52} is eliminated by dopamine blockade or lesions. Corticostriatal synapses also exhibit bidirectional synaptic plasticity, which means that they can be either potentiated or depressed depending on the information arriving from the cortex. Cortical low-frequency stimulation reverses previously induced corticostriatal long-term potentiation and evokes long-term depression, in a process known as depotentiation, which appears to be crucial for the storage of memory and the elimination of incorrect or useless information.\textsuperscript{53} This plasticity and the capacity to express depotentiation is lost in rats with 6-OHDA lesions that have developed dyskinesias in response to \textsuperscript{l}-dopa.\textsuperscript{54}

Loss of nigrostriatal dopaminergic activity in rodents, MPTP monkeys, and PD patients is associated with a significant loss in the number and length of dendritic spines of MSNs.\textsuperscript{55,56} This occurs rapidly after dopamine depletion and was described in mice to specifically affect D2-bearing MSNs in the “indirect” pathway through activation of L-type, voltage-gated calcium channels.\textsuperscript{55} This finding is not easy to fit with the above data that point to enhanced activity of MSNs in the “indirect” pathway. A profound loss of dendritic spines on D2-bearing MSNs should lead to reduced glutamatergic activation and reduced excitability. A recent study in MPTP monkeys confirmed a 50% loss of dendritic spines, particularly in the motor putamen where dopamine depletion is greatest, but failed to demonstrate a differential reduction between D1- and D2-bearing MSNs (R. Villalba, personal communication).

Dopamine depletion also affects the activity of striatal interneurons. Fino and colleagues,\textsuperscript{57} in a series of in vitro experiments, showed that membranes of fastspiking GABAergic interneurons became less excitable after dopamine depletion. However, in vivo recordings from dopamine-depleted rats show that these neurons do not change their firing rate in response to cortical stimulation.\textsuperscript{39} These seemingly contradictory results may be related to the effects of dopamine depletion on MSNs and TANs, which, in turn, may affect fastspiking interneuron excitability.

The effect of dopamine depletion on cholinergic interneurons is also somewhat controversial. Activation of D1 and D3 receptors on cholinergic neurons produces membrane depolarization,\textsuperscript{57} but activation of presynaptic D2 receptors reduces acetylcholine postsynaptic potentials.\textsuperscript{58} In monkeys, MPTP lesions cause an increase in oscillatory (approximately 16Hz) activity of TANs,\textsuperscript{59} but no change in their basal firing rate\textsuperscript{60} or in their degree of synchronization.\textsuperscript{61} Overall, it has generally been found that dopamine depletion is associated with an increase in the excitability of cholinergic (ie, TANs) interneurons and inhibition of GABA interneurons. These changes result in impaired corticostriatal transmission and reduced feed-forward intrastral inhibition. Together, these findings may be directly related to the difficulty PD patients have in selecting and generating movement amplitude and speed.

In summary, dopamine depletion caused a bias in corticostriatal transmission toward activating GABAergic MSNs in the “indirect” circuit leading to excess inhibition of neurons in the GPe (see Fig 1B).

### Corticostriatal Activity: Normal State

The STN is now appreciated to be subdivided into a motor, associative, and limbic region. The dorsolateral STN corresponds with the motor region and is further subdivided based on site of origin of afferent fibers. Fibers from the primary motor area (area 4) project to the lateral tip of the STN, whereas afferents from the supplementary motor area are more medially placed.\textsuperscript{61} In the monkey, STN neurons fire at a rate of approximately 30Hz and provide tonic excitatory input to both the GPe and GPi. Thus, a lesion or blockade of the STN is associated with a significant reduction in the mean firing rate of pallidal neurons.\textsuperscript{62,63}

Initial studies recording STN activity in awake monkeys who were performing a task indicated that neuronal firing occurred after or coinciding with movement initiation.\textsuperscript{64} This finding suggests that the STN was not involved with movement onset, in keeping with the then prevailing idea that the STN was primarily related to inhibition of movement. However, recent studies recording single-cell activity and local field potentials in patients with PD have shown clear evidence of activation of STN neurons up to 1 second before initiation of a movement.\textsuperscript{55–67} It thus appears that when a voluntary movement is to be performed, cortical volleys are simultaneously dispatched to the putamen and the STN. Indeed, microstimulation of the motor cortex in the monkey evokes a short latency excitatory response in the STN (approximately 6 milliseconds) followed by a brief inhibition and a second excitatory response at approximately 19 milliseconds.\textsuperscript{63}
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competing responses. These results are in keeping with findings indicating that deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the STN impairs the capacity to stop making wrong decisions.\textsuperscript{72} These findings have important pathophysiological implications. First, they suggest that PD patients will take a longer time but perhaps perform more efficiently when posed with difficult decision making. Second, it suggests that patients with a lesion of the STN should perform poorly in similar situations and fail to suppress making bad choices. Indeed, STN-DBS has been shown to trigger behavioral and mood disorders such as hyperactivity, increased suicidal ideations, and abnormal control of impulses, euphoria, and laughing, but also apathy, crying, and depression,\textsuperscript{73,74} which is in keeping with the involvement of the STN in the control of behavior and emotions. In summary, the old idea that the STN is exclusively involved in inhibiting movement\textsuperscript{75,76} must now be revisited.

CORTICOSUBTHALAMIC ACTIVITY: PARKINSONIAN STATE. STN neuronal activity is increased in the parkinsonian state leading to excessive neuronal recruitment and augmented inhibitory output of the GPi and SNr. This has been shown by neuronal recordings in vivo and by molecular markers of increased metabolic activity such as cytochrome oxidase (CO) and 2-dexoyglucose (see the Table). Indeed, STN hyperactivity may be considered as the best defined functional feature of the parkinsonian state.\textsuperscript{77} It is now well recognized that blockade or lesion of the STN in the dopamine-depleted state is associated with functional restoration of basal ganglia and thalamocortical activity, and improvement in parkinsonian features in both animal models\textsuperscript{78} and PD patients.\textsuperscript{79}

Interestingly, the origin of the STN hyperactivity in PD has not been completely ascertained. The classical model of the basal ganglia portrays that GPe hypoactivity (caused by excessive striatopallidal inhibition) is the key factor leading to disinhibition of the STN (see the next section), but this interpretation has been questioned by studies in the 6-OHDA rat and MPTP monkey showing normal levels of CO and GAD in the GPe.\textsuperscript{80,81} Furthermore, STN has reciprocal connections with the GPe. It would be expected that if STN overactivity after a dopamine lesion was due to inhibition of GPe neurons, this feedback loop would serve to reactivate the GPe and stabilize the system unless other as yet unappreciated factors are at play. It is now known that STN neuronal activity can also be influenced through other mechanisms. The cortex, thalamus, and pedunculopontine nucleus (PPN) all send excitatory projections to the STN\textsuperscript{82} and potentially could induce increased firing independent of the activity state of the GPe. The cortico-subthalamic pathway was found to be underactive in the 6-OHDA–lesioned rat,\textsuperscript{83} but the centromedian/parafascicular complex and the PPN are overactive in the same model (Fig 5) and could cause a state of hyperactivity in the parkinsonian brain (presumably through activation of the STN).\textsuperscript{84} Indeed, lesions of the PPN reverse hy-
peractivity in the STN in 6-OHDA–lesioned rodents.85 Dopamine depletion also has a direct effect on STN activity.84,86 Local interruption of dopamine terminals directed to the STN increase its activity, indicating a direct modulatory effect of dopamine on the STN.84 We have postulated that early denervation of the STN in PD may act as a compensatory mechanism that maintains the basal ganglia output within normal limits despite a progressive loss of striatal dopamine,87 thus potentially explaining why clinical features do not emerge until there is a 70 to 80% reduction in striatal dopamine levels.

THALAMOSTRIATAL AND THALAMOSUBTHALAMIC PROJECTIONS IN THE NORMAL AND PARKINSONIAN STATES. The centromedian-parafascicular (CM-Pf) is an important source of glutamatergic innervation of the basal ganglia, with major projections to the striatum,88,89 STN,90 globus pallidus, and substantia nigra.90 The CM-Pf forms two “internal” or “transverse” loops within the basal ganglia (see Fig 2), which suggests it has a modulatory effect on the striatum and STN.

In rats with 6-OHDA lesions, Pf neurons that innervate the STN and the striatum are hyperactive.91,92 and Pf ablation reverses the increase in STN metabolic activity associated with the parkinsonian state.93 However, we found neither antiparkinsonian benefit nor amelioration of L-dopa–induced dyskinesias after chemical ablation of the CM nucleus in MPTP-treated monkeys.94 This casts doubts on the likelihood that this intralaminar nucleus plays an important role in the pathophysiology of PD. It is still possible, though, that the CM is involved in more complex aspects of movement and behavioral control.

Output of the Basal Ganglia
The main output of the basal ganglia for movement control of the face and limbs stems from the GPi/SNr, which project to the thalamocortical and brainstem motor regions. Considerable evidence indicates that the excitability and the firing pattern of GPi/SNr neurons play a key role in determining normal function, parkinsonism, and motor complications such as dyskinesia. The excitability and firing pattern of GPi/SNr neurons is influenced and controlled by several key projections: (1) the striatopallidal circuit (“direct” pathway), (2) the cortico-STN-GPi/SNr projection, (3) dopaminergic and serotoninergic afferents, and (4) the GPe-GPi/SNr projection. Movement facilitation is associated with a pause or a reduction in neuronal firing rate in the GPi (or the SNr), which, in turn, facilitates thalamocortical activation.1,3 This has classi-
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NORMAL STATE. The GPe, STN, and GPi form a highly organized network that exhibits a precise anatomic correspondence. For example, reciprocally interconnected areas of the GPe and STN converge on the same region of the GPi. The GPe projection to the GPi is important and accounts for about half of GPi afferents. Importantly, about 40% of GPe neurons also project to the striatum where they predominantly synapse with interneurons. The GPe is therefore perfectly placed to exert a powerful impact on basal ganglia information processing (see Fig 2).

In the normal monkey, Kita and coauthors show that 10Hz stimulation of the STN induced strong excitation of the GPe and a shorter latency brief excitation of the GPi, which is followed by an inhibitory response (see Fig 4). This inhibition is mediated by the GPe (local blockade of GABA afferents onto the GPi eliminate it) and predominates over the initial short-latency STN-GPi excitatory response. This implies that, after cortical activation, the incoming excitatory volley onto the STN predominantly leads to inhibition of the GPi via activation of the inhibitory GPe disynaptic connection with the GPi (see Fig 3B).

Cortical activity associated with movement initiation leads to parallel activation of two afferent loops to the basal ganglia, that is, the striatum and the STN (see Fig 2). Subsequently, the GPe receives an inhibitory volley from GABAergic-enkcephalin MSNs in the direct pathway and excitation via STN projections. Both projections onto the GPe are disynaptic, and it is likely that the cortically derived signals arrive close in time. Simultaneously, the GPi receives a disynaptic short-duration excitation via the cortico-STN-GPi projection and inhibition from the GABAergic-substantia P-dynorphin “direct” pathway (see Fig 2). Under these series of events, the third synaptic component of the circuit, the GPe-GPi inhibitory synapse, can modulate GPi neuronal excitability and directly influence its output effect on thalamocortical projections. This organization is ideal for assisting in the performance of motor activities such as repetitive, sequential, and switching movements, which require precise spatial and temporal selection of different muscle groups.

The GPe also has connections with the reticular nucleus of the thalamus, the SNc, and the PPN. It is perhaps also important to appreciate that both the GPe and GPi receive dopaminergic innervation by a nigro-pallidal projection, as well as from collaterals of nigrostriatal axons. Indeed, D2-expressing neurons are found in about 40 to 50% of all pallidal neurons, and local administration of dopamine into the GP (in the rat) modifies the neuronal firing rate. Thus, dopamine exerts a direct physiological effect on pallidal activity that may be physiologically relevant and relevant to the pharmacological effects of dopaminergic drugs in PD.

GLOBUS PALLIDUS PARS EXTERNA-SUBTHALAMIC NUCLEUS-GLOBUS PALLIDUS PARS INTERNA-THALAMIC NETWORK:
PARKINSONIAN STATE. The functional state of the GPe in the parkinsonian state has been a matter of discussion (see the Table). Studies have reported a low firing rate in the GPe of parkinsonian animals, no change, or slight increase at the time of onset of parkinsonian signs. More recently, a detailed study in the MPTP monkey showed that GPe firing is significantly lower than normal and the GPe/GPi discharge rate is well below 1 (the normal ratio). In addition, GABA release (measured by microdialysis) was reduced in the STN and GAD (measured by immunoradiography) was increased in the GPe, in keeping with previous findings. This study supports the original model contention that the GPe is functionally hypoactive in the parkinsonian state leading to reduced inhibition of the STN and GPi. However, it also demonstrates a dissociation between neuronal electrophysiological activity and GAD expression in the GPe, which had been at the base of previous questioning of the functional state and role of this nucleus in PD. It is possible that these discrepancies are related to changes in GPe excitability and activity throughout the evolution of PD. This idea is supported by a recent study of the time course of CO-I activity after a unilateral intrastriatal injection of 6-OHDA in the rat. This approach induces a slower and less complete SNc degeneration than the classical approach where the toxin is injected directly into the nigrostriatal fascicle. Although CO-I activity was ultimately increased in all basal ganglia nuclei examined (GP, STN, SNr, and the entopeduncular nucleus = GPi in primates), the temporal pattern varied among the different nuclei throughout the evolution of damage. In the GP, an immediate decrease in CO-I activity...
was observed at day 1, followed by a modest (+10%) increase that peaked (+25%) by the fourth week after lesion developed. The STN showed a moderate increase in CO-I activity at day 1 and remained increased throughout the 4 weeks after lesion developed. The output nuclei (SNr and entopeduncular nucleus, EP) exhibited a triphasic metabolic response with a significant increase at day 1, reduction at 3 and 7 days after injection, and a permanent increase after the third week. Basal ganglia output was, therefore, kept within normal limits during the initial 2 weeks probably through compensatory mechanisms in the GPe-STN-GPi circuitry. It has been shown that GPe activity (or GP in the rat) remains within normal limits during the initial (presymptomatic) period of nigrostriatal dopamine loss and maintains basal ganglia output within normal limits, which likely accounts for why animals are asymptomatic during this stage. In addition, dopamine innervation of the GPi is higher in MPTP monkeys who exhibit clinical recovery after MPTP, and F-dopa positron emission tomography shows increased uptake in the GPi in the early but not advanced stages of PD. Thus, the basal ganglia network adapts to dopamine loss and the initial changes in the “indirect” and “direct” pathways. Once the dopaminergic deficit surpasses the threshold for the available compensatory mechanisms, the GPe-STN-GPi network becomes hyperactive and loses its normal physiological tuning. It may be said that the symptomatic parkinsonian state is characterized by a shift in the internal excitability of the BG (see Fig 3C). Here, overactivity in the excitatory STN-GPi pathway predominates and overwhelms the inhibitory effects of GPi afferents from the GPe (which is hypoactive) and the “direct” pathway. This leads to excessive firing of the GPi in response to afferent signals and a decreased signal-to-noise ratio, thereby impeding the normal facilitation of a desired movement.

**BASAL GANGLIA-BRAINSTEM ACTIVITY: NORMAL AND PARKINSON’S DISEASE STATES.** The basal ganglia projects to the brainstem and, therefore, is in a position to influence excitability of several brainstem centers and functions. These include the control of saccadic movement of the eyes, the blink reflex and startle reaction, up-righting, postural reflexes, and locomotion. Gait and balance are important sources of disability in PD and are generally not adequately controlled with available therapies. Among the brainstem centers that are linked to the basal ganglia, the PPN has attracted particular attention because of its relation to sleep disorders and gait dysfunction seen in PD.

The PPN is composed of a heterogeneous population of neurons with long-range axonal projections that target primarily the basal ganglia (see Fig 5). The PPN is implicated in a wide variety of functions, such as locomotion, inhibition of motor activity during REM sleep, and possibly attention. By means of a mixture of cholinergic, glutamatergic, and GABAergic fibers, PPN neurons project preferentially to two main targets in the basal ganglia, the STN and the SNr/GPi. PPN also projects to the SNc and the ventral tegmental area. In turn, PPN neurons receive dense glutamatergic and GABAergic projections arising from the STN and SNr/GPi, respectively.

A role of the PPN in PD has been suspected since the late 1980s. In a postmortem study, Hirsch and colleagues identified a decrease in the number of cholinergic neurons in the PPN in PD patients. Recently, a reduction in CO-I activity (measured by in situ hybridization) of acetylcholine neurons in the PPN of MPTP monkeys has also been reported, but this was not associated with cell loss. These findings could be explained by increased GABAergic input from the SNr and GPi. On the other hand, in the parkinsonian state, the PPN receives an increased glutamatergic input originating from the STN that should drive PPN neurons to increase their basal firing rate, which, in turn, could further increase STN firing. This dual excitatory connection between the PPN and STN could form a positive feed-forward loop that could perpetuate abnormal basal ganglia hyperactivity (see Fig 5). Theoretically, one would expect that reducing the activity in one of them could lead to a decrease in the activity of the others. Indeed, lesions of the PPN have been reported to induce parkinsonism in monkeys.

Low-frequency DBS in the PPN has been recently proposed as a novel target for the surgical treatment for PD. This is based on the idea that the massive outflow from the GPi in PD has overinhibited the PPN. Indeed, one study in the MPTP monkey model showed improvement in akinesia after local infusion of a GABA antagonist into the PPN, and low stimulation of the PPN led to improvement of parkinsonian features in MPTP monkeys. A pilot study of DBS of the PPN has shown promising results particularly with respect to locomotion that could not be obtained with stimulation of the STN. Recently, it has also been shown that stimulation of the PPN improves H-reflex excitability in PD patients arguing in favor of a direct effect on spinal cord physiology. Despite all these clues, the functional state of the PPN in the parkinsonian state is not well defined, and this may prove difficult to define given its heterogeneous cell popula-
tion and the possibility that its neuronal activity may change over the course of the disease.

Pathophysiology of Parkinson's Disease: Current Concepts and Therapeutic Consequences

ORIGIN OF CARDINAL FEATURES AND UNEXPLAINED PROBLEMS. Poverty of spontaneous movements (hypokinesia) and slowness of voluntary movement (bradykinesia) are fundamental features of the general phenomenon of akinesia in PD. The evidence summarized earlier indicates that dopamine depletion shifts the balance of basal ganglia activity toward the “indirect” circuit where the GPe-STN-GPi microcircuit plays a paramount role (see Fig 3C).

Increased output from the GPi overinhibits the thalamocortical projection reducing cortical neuronal activation associated with movement initiation. In addition, the putamen, STN, and GPi show an abnormal augmented neuronal response to peripheral stimulation with synchronous firing of neighboring neurons. This could impair the normal selection or filtering of incoming signals that characterizes normal basal ganglia physiology (see Fig 3C). Thus, the basal ganglia is shifted toward inhibiting cortically aided movements by an increased gain of the STN-GPi network and reduced excitability in the “direct” cortico-putaminal-GPi projection.116,134 Typical features in PD also include an alteration of automatic movements with reduced blinking rate, a positive Meyerson’s sign, decreased arm swing, and short stride length when walking. All of these are probably mediated by brainstem mechanisms, which are also functionally impaired by excessive basal ganglia inhibitory outputs. This has been elegantly shown experimentally for the excitability of the blink reflex.135 Presumably, a similar mechanism underlies the impairment of other automatic movements in PD. However, the current understanding of basal ganglia pathophysiology does not provide an adequate explanation for other essential characteristic motor abnormalities in PD.136 These include difficulty in performing simultaneous and sequential movements, a progressive reduction in amplitude while performing a repetitive movement, and the striking improvement in gait freezing induced by visual cues. A newer outlook to understand some of these problems has arisen by the study of neuronal populations as a complement to single-cell recordings.

The several loops connecting the BG internally and also with the thalamus and brainstem provide ample possibilities for neuronal synchronization and network oscillations. Animal studies have shown that DA depletion is associated with increased synchronization of neuronal activity throughout the BG.137,138 The standard application of DBSs of the internal part of the globus pallidus (GPi) or STN to treat PD currently allows the recording of local field potentials on a routine basis. There is general agreement that in the “off” medication state, the STN shows a predominant activity in the high alpha-low beta range (11–30Hz), which is attenuated when parkinsonian signs abate during the “on” medication state.139,140 The latter is also characterized by a peak around 60 to 80Hz in the power spectrum and by an increase in the theta band when l-dopa–induced dyskinesias are present. Voluntary movements lead to a significant reduction of the alpha-beta activity starting about 1 second before movement initiation and continuing until movement is ended. This sequence occurs both in the “off” and “on” states, although the beta band reduction in the “on” state is less overt because activity (ie, energy power) is already reduced. In healthy subjects, movement-related changes in oscillatory activity in the motor cortex are similar to those found in the STN.141 All of the above have led researchers to suggest that PD patients may have greater difficulty than healthy individuals in reducing beta activity before movement, which could provide a better explanation of several akinetic/bradykinetic features.

The current basal ganglia model does not provide any explanation for the two other cardinal features of PD, that is, rigidity and tremor. Regarding the latter, it is now realized that the parkinsonian state is characterized not only by neuronal hyperactivity in basal ganglia output neurons but also by increased neuronal synchronization of cell firing leading to oscillations,138 which are particularly evident when tremor is present. Recording neuronal activity in patients with PD142 has shown a definite correlation between tremor in the limbs and rhythmic 4 to 6Hz firing in basal ganglia nuclei (GPe, GPi, STN), as well as in the ventralis intermedius nucleus (Vim) of the thalamus.143 Lesion or DBS of the STN, GPi, and Vim are all well known to stop tremor in PD. However, the Vim is a cerebellar receiving area and is not directly connected with the BG. Studies in organotypic cultures showed that the GPe and STN in the dopamine deficient state have an enhanced tendency to rhythmical and reciprocal firing, causing 4 to 5Hz bursting activity.144 It is therefore tempting to suggest that the complex organization of basal ganglia circuits has a tendency to generate oscillatory activity that produces tremor in PD. It remains to be elucidated whether mechanisms engaging tremor are primarily mediated and depend on striatal dopamine deficiency or are the consequence of impairment of direct dopaminergic projection to the STN, GPe, and GPi or the thalamus.145 On the other hand, a recent study in vervet monkeys shows a low-pass filter capacity of the motor cortex for stimulation of the GPi at more than 5Hz.146 This led the authors to suggest that the 4 to 6Hz tremor-related activity seen in PD is not really driving the motor system, but that tremor in
PD primarily originates outside the basal ganglia (ie, brainstem motor nuclei or spinal cord). This is indeed a challenging proposal. How dopamine deficiency leads to abnormal oscillatory activity in an extensive motor network that involves the basal ganglia, cerebellum, thalamus, and motor cortex is not well understood. It is equally not well understood why some PD patients have tremor and some do not, and why lesions in the Vim improve tremor but not rigidity and bradykinesia, whereas all of these features are improved with lesions of the STN or Gpi.

Rigidity is essentially an increase in resistance to passive movement. Accordingly, it has been thought for decades that rigidity should be related to an enhancement of stretch reflex excitability. The tonic stretch reflex, as well as the phasic long-latency stretch reflex, is facilitated in PD patients.\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\) How the basal ganglia changes associated with dopamine depletion modify the excitability of stretch reflex mechanisms has not really been explored. It is well known that basal ganglia surgery (ie, pallidotomy, subthalamotomy, and DBS of either Gpi or STN), as well as thalamotomy involving the anteroverentral thalamic nucleus (receiving the pallidal projection) has a marked antirigidity effect. But the precise mechanism responsible for this effect is not known. One interesting point is that primary cortical excitability is enhanced in the parkinsonian state,\(^1\)\(^4\) unlike premotor and prefrontal areas, which are hypoactive, and it is conceivable that projections to the STN from area 4 might have increased reflex gain. This would allow afferent impulses from muscles and joints during movement to excite the “hyperdirect” cortico-STN-Gpi pathway. In keeping with this concept, STN and Gpi neurons respond readily to muscle stretching and vibration (which selectively activates Ia afferent fibers) in the MPTP monkey and PD patients. However, how such increased Gpi responses would lead to facilitation of the stretch reflex is not apparent.

**THERAPEUTIC CONSEQUENCES.** In the presymptomatic phase of PD, the functional consequences of a slow decline in striatal dopamine content are compensated for by changes in the remaining nigrostriatal dopamine neurons and by adjustments in the basal ganglia and cortical circuits that keep “motor loop” activity within normal limits despite progressive neurodegeneration.\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^6\) Eventually, the equilibrium is lost and the cardinal features of PD begin to emerge. Compensatory mechanisms remain active, however, explaining the subtle clinical manifestations that are present in the early stages of the disease (ie, cardinal features are limited to one body part or hemibody) despite massive striatal dopamine depletion. Thus, in the parkinsonian state, the basal ganglia are no longer operating normally, but the network is still able to function in a relatively stable manner. This essential feature of basal ganglia functional organization and compensation is totally disrupted by standard L-dopa therapy.\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^1\)\(^7\)\(^1\)\(^8\) Progressive neurodegeneration and loss of nigrostriatal fibers leads to an inability to maintain tonic dopamine receptor stimulation, which is the basic mechanism regulating striatal neuronal excitability.\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^2\) Intermittent doses of standard L-dopa lead to oscillations in plasma L-dopa that are translated into pathological fluctuations in striatal dopamine levels and intermittent dopamine receptor activation. Physiologically, this discontinuous or pulsatile stimulation of striatal dopamine receptors is translated into abnormal firing patterns in basal ganglia output neurons that lead to motor complications. Thus, apomorphine or L-dopa given as a bolus can reduce the increased firing rate of Gpi neurons that characterize the “off” state and improve motor function in a PD patient, but does not normalize basal ganglia output.\(^1\)\(^7\) The “on” state continues to be associated with hypoactivity of the STN and Gpi so that the GPe/GPi firing rate ratio (normal, approximately 1) is markedly increased\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^3\); in addition, there is a marked modification in the prevailing oscillatory activity, that is, reduction of beta rhythm and increment of theta band with the onset of dyskinesias.\(^1\)\(^3\)\(^9\)\(^1\)\(^4\)\(^0\) Furthermore, L-dopa reduces but does not normalize the percentage of correlated pairs of neurons with synchronous firing in the Gpi and GPe of MPTP monkeys.\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^3\) Thus, intermittent doses of standard L-dopa do not normalize the physiology of the basal ganglia and may, in fact, act as a “destabilizing” stimulus by repeatedly exposing the denervated basal ganglia, over a few hours, to large, unregulated, extrasynaptic levels of dopamine alternating with a state of severe dopamine deficiency. We believe that such intermittent dopaminergic induces abnormal “pulsatile” stimulation of striatal dopamine receptors leading to dysregulation of intracellular signals and plastic changes in MSNs ultimately associated with motor complications, particularly dyskinesias.\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^4\)\(^\) This concept suggests that delivery of L-dopa in a more continuous manner might be more physiological and reduce the risk for inducing motor complications.\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^4\)\(^\) Indeed, L-dopa infusion is associated with a highly significant reduction in both “off” time and dyskinesia in advanced PD patients in comparison with standard intermittent L-dopa doses.\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^5\)

A final therapeutic consideration concerns the issue of when to initiate symptomatic treatment in PD. The common medical practice has been to delay pharmacological treatment until warranted by the severity of symptoms and signs. In PD, this practice has been strongly influenced by experience indicating that L-dopa treatment is eventually associated with motor complications. However, one can argue that early, and adequate, intervention enhances basal ganglia compensatory mechanisms and contributes to better clinical control.\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^6\) The TEMPO (TVP-1012 in Early Mono-
therapy for Parkinson’s Disease Outpatients) study demonstrated that early introduction of the monoamine oxidase B inhibitor rasagiline provided long-term benefits that could not be equaled by later introduction of the same drug.\textsuperscript{157} Furthermore, the ELLDOPA (Earlier versus Later Levodopa Therapy in Parkinson Disease) study\textsuperscript{158} showed that de novo PD patients treated with l-dopa soon after diagnosis had significantly less deterioration on the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale than did placebo-treated patients, even when examined 2 weeks after stopping l-dopa therapy. Such observations cannot be explained by means of l-dopa pharmacokinetics and in all probability are related to changes in basal ganglia circuitry induced by symptomatic treatment. In fact, the long-duration response to l-dopa in the 6-OHDA rat\textsuperscript{159} is paralleled by a gradual return of basal ganglia (STN, SNr) activity toward parkinsonian levels. With the availability of dopaminergic agents that are well tolerated, have a low adverse effect profile, and are not likely to induce motor complications, we now advocate introducing dopaminergic therapy to PD patients as soon as feasible, and possibly even at the time of diagnosis.

**Conclusions**

Current concepts about the organization of the basal ganglia emphasize the existence of several “internal” mechanisms that modulate input/output activity, and sustain normal selection and execution of movement.\textsuperscript{160,161} In the parkinsonian state, this filtering mechanism is deranged and precise neuronal tuning is lost. The current model provides a reasonable explanation for the origin of akinetiform features in PD and the response to drugs and surgery.\textsuperscript{162} However, we are still in need of a more comprehensive model that accounts for the other cardinal features such as rigidity and tremor, as well as gait dysfunction, attention and learning deficits, emotional disturbances, and cognitive disorders, which are all now realized to form part of the global clinical picture of PD. Further understanding of the intimate pathophysiological mechanisms underlying the different manifestations of PD could potentially lead to more refined and more effective treatments that more completely restore the physiological balance of the basal ganglia.\textsuperscript{162} Currently, effort should be made toward using this type of information to introduce dopaminergic therapies in as physiological a manner as possible and to avoid where possible the use of nonphysiological discontinuous dopaminergic stimulation that provokes newer abnormalities and further destabilizes an already abnormal basal ganglia network.
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